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A phonological reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado (Jê family)¹

This is the second paper in a series on the historical phonology of Macro-Jê languages. In this work, I examine the sound correspondences between the languages of the Central Jê branch, Xavânte and Xerênte, in order to arrive at a reconstruction of Proto-Central Jê. I further compare it to my reconstruction of Proto-Northern Jê (Nikulin 2016b) and propose a phonological reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado, the most recent common ancestor of Proto-Central Jê and Proto-Northern Jê. The paper also includes a non-exhaustive list of Cerrado etymologies.
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1. Introduction

In an earlier work (Nikulin 2016b), I have proposed a phonological reconstruction of Proto-Northern Jê, the proto-language of the branch that comprises Apinayé, Kayapó (Mêbêngôkre), Suyá (Kisêdjê), Tapayûna, Timbira and Panará languages spoken in Central Brazil. In this paper I offer a reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado (PCerr)², the immediate ancestor of Proto-Northern Jê (PNJ) and the proto-language of its coordinate branch, Central Jê (PCJ).

Before proceeding to the main body of this paper, some comments on my PNJ reconstruction (Nikulin 2016b) are necessary. These concern the internal phylogenetic structure of the Northern Jê branch and individual correspondences.

1.1. Internal structure of Northern Jê

Recent lexicostatistical investigation has shown that the Northern branch of Jê languages has the internal structure shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Northern Jê languages

![Diagram of Northern Jê languages](image)

² Cerrado languages have been also called Amazonian Jê (Ribeiro and Voort 2010: 549) and Northern Jê (Ramirez et al. 2015: 261) in earlier literature.
Contrary to my earlier claims, Timbira is not likely to form a node with Apinayé and Kayapó (called AMT in Nikulin 2016b). Instead, it probably was the first language to split off from Proto-Core Jê. This must have occurred sometime between the III and VIII centuries CE (70–82% matches on the 110-item Swadesh wordlist). The split between Apinayé–Kayapó and Suyá–Tapayúna should be dated sometime between the VII and X centuries CE (79–86% matches). It is also possible that Timbira, Apinayé–Kayapó and Suyá–Tapayúna diverged from each other simultaneously around 700 CE, in which case Core Jê would have a rake-like structure (cf. the working hypothesis in Nikulin 2015). The revision of the tree has been possible thanks to the inclusion of additional lexical data sources for modern languages not considered in Nikulin 2016b: Vasconcelos 2013, Bardagil-Mas 2016, Lapierre et al. 2016a (for Panará), Ham 1961, Albuquerque 2011, 2012 (for Apinayé), Camargo 2015 (for Tapayúna), DMK (for Suyá), Silva 2011, 2012 (for Pykobjê), Castro Alves 1999 (for Apâniekrá), Popjes and Popjes 1971 (for Ramkokamekrá).

The split of Northern Jê into Core Jê and Panará probably occurred sometime between the III and the V centuries BC (55–60% matches on the 110-item Swadesh wordlist), much earlier than the split of Core Jê. This is in line with my earlier views on the internal structure of the Northern Jê branch (Nikulin 2015, 2016a, 2016b), but contrasts sharply with an alternative hypothesis, according to which Panará would form a node with Timbira, ‘Savannah Jê’ (Lapierre et al. 2016b, Lapierre 2017). The main argument in favor of the Savannah Jê hypothesis is that both Panará and Timbira share a non-trivial, typologically rare and seemingly unnatural sound change: the devoicing of PNJ prenasalized voiced stops (\(^*n_b\), \(^*n_d\), \(^*n_k\), \(^*n_g\)). However, this sound change should be understood as part of a more general trend in Panará and Timbira historical phonologies: all PNJ voiced stops, including the oral stops \(*b\), \(*d\), \(*g\), became voiceless in both languages (Nikulin 2016b: 171–173); no mention of this fact is made by the proponents of the Savannah Jê hypothesis. Note that, although devoicing of prenasalized stops is indeed uncommon cross-linguistically, as correctly observed by Lapierre (2017), there is nothing uncommon about the situation in which a general stop devoicing process targets stops in all environments, including the position after a nasal segment (cf. Old High German \(fintan\) ‘to find’, \(bintan\) ‘to bind’, where \(t\) comes from an earlier \(*d\) via the High German consonant shift). More crucially, the devoicing of voiced stops in Timbira counterfed the sound changes \(*t > h\), \(*k > k^h\), unique to Timbira. This fact confirms that the voiced stop voicing in Panará and Timbira occurred independently.

1.2. Other additions

Major additions to my PNJ reconstruction (Nikulin 2016b) include some amendments based both on new sources and on new observations.

---

3 The existence of this clade has also been cautiously suggested by Carvalho (2016: 70).
4 Annotated Swadesh wordlists, created within the GLD (Global Lexicostatistical Database) project, are scheduled to be available online at <http://starling.rint.ru/new100>). Note that the Proto-Northern Jê word \(*\text{diwē} ‘new’ is a probable loanword and of borrowed origin and is marked as such in the database; it was considered as a native item in the lexicostatistical calculations for Northern Jê languages.

For divergence datings, I accept the flow glottochronological model advanced by Vasilyev and Saenko (2017) and the formula proposed by the authors: \(N(t) = e^{-0.6}(1 + 0.61t)\), where \(N(t)\) is the match percentage within the 110-item Swadesh wordlist and \(t\) is the time depth of the divergence event expressed in thousands of years. The value of the loss coefficient (0.61) was calibrated on Romance material.
1.2.1. Panará

New data that became available to me after the publication of my PNJ reconstruction, especially a recent article on the development of PNJ *r in Panará by Carvalho (2016), challenge a number of statements that can be found in Nikulin 2016b.

1.2.1.1. PNJ *ŋɾ. Most importantly, the sound law PNJ *ŋɾ > PNR y, based on a single cognate set PNJ *ŋɾɔ̃tɔ̃ ‘toucan’ > PNR yɔ̃-kwekwe, yɔ̃-sa, is now known to be erroneous. The true Panará reflex of this PNJ root is iⁿkyɔ̃pɔpɛpɛtɪ (Andrés Salanova, p.c.). The same PNJ cluster must be reconstructed for another cognate set proposed by Carvalho (2016: 59): PNJ *ŋɾɔ̃ ‘to sprout’ > PNR kyɔ̃, KAY ŋɾõ (from which PNJ *ŋɾɔ̃tɔ̃ ‘toucan’ > API Ø=i=ŋɾɔ̃tɔ̃, TIM h=i=rɔ̃-t is derived), though the absence of prenasalization in Panará remains unclear. Note that PNJ *ŋ > PNR ’k in all environments, including the position before nasalized vowels.

1.2.1.2. PNJ *ka- and *a-. Another fact that I failed to mention in Nikulin 2016b is that the outcomes of word-initial unstressed PNJ *ka- and *a- are exactly the same, suggesting a merger and a subsequent split development in the history of Panará, as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The development of PNJ word-initial *ka- and *a- in Panará

PNJ *a-  a. PNJ *aⁿgɾɔ ‘warm’ > PNR nɔ̃kɔ / =ɾɔ̃kɔ;
   b. PNJ *aⁿbɾɔ ‘blood’ > PNR nɔ̃pyu / =ɾɔ̃pyu;
   c. PNJ *aŋɔ̃ ‘snake’ > *aⁿgɔ̃ > PNR nɔ̃kɔ;
   d. PNJ *aⁿgɔ̃ ‘sedge seed’ > PNR nɔ̃kɔ;
   e. PNJ *aⁿgɾɔ ‘peccary’ > PNR nɔ̃kyo / =ɾɔ̃kyo, etc.

PNJ *ka-  a. PNJ *kaⁿgɾɔ ‘cotton’ > PNR aⁿstɪ ‘cord’;
   b. PNJ *katuʃɔ̃ ‘mortar’ > PNR asu’à ‘pestle’;
   c. PNJ *kaprɪ ‘sad’ > PNR aprɪ-pe;
   d. PNJ *kaprɪtɔ̃ ‘turtle’ > PNR apyšɔ̃;
   e. PNJ *akrɔ ‘vine, fishing poison’ > PNR akyo, etc.

The correspondences involved in this scheme are illustrated by examples (1–2), most of which are extracted from published sources (Nikulin 2016b: 171; Carvalho 2016: 58).

(1)  PNJ *ka- and *a- > PNR nɔ̃- / =ɾ-
   a. PNJ *kaⁿgɾɔ ‘warm’ > PNR nɔ̃kɔ / =ɾɔ̃kɔ;
   b. PNJ *kaⁿbɾɔ ‘blood’ > PNR nɔ̃pyu / =ɾɔ̃pyu;
   c. PNJ *kaŋɔ̃ ‘snake’ > *aⁿgɔ̃ > PNR nɔ̃kɔ;
   d. PNJ *aⁿgɔ̃ ‘sedge seed’ > PNR nɔ̃kɔ;
   e. PNJ *aⁿgɾɔ ‘peccary’ > PNR nɔ̃kyo / =ɾɔ̃kyo, etc.

(2)  PNJ *ka- and *a- > PNR a-
   a. PNJ *kaⁿgɾɔ ‘cotton’ > PNR aⁿstɪ ‘cord’;
   b. PNJ *katuʃɔ̃ ‘mortar’ > PNR asu’à ‘pestle’;
   c. PNJ *kaprɪ ‘sad’ > PNR aprɪ-pe;
   d. PNJ *kaprɪtɔ̃ ‘turtle’ > PNR aψɔ̃;
   e. PNJ *akrɔ ‘vine, fishing poison’ > PNR akyo, etc.

Note that Southern Kayapó wordlists capture a stage of Panará when the prothesis of n- had not yet occurred. For example, Barbosa (1918: 62, 81, 83) gives <a(n)kió> ‘warm’, <ampi ô> ‘blood’, <anhán> ‘snake’, <ankiô> ‘peccary’. This means that the prothesis of n- and its subsequent flapping are very recent and should be dated to the XX century.

1.2.1.3. Correspondence Proto-Core Jê *e ~ Panará 3. Carvalho (2016: 60) cites two cognate sets that cannot be easily accounted for with my PNJ reconstructions. These are reproduced below in (3).

5 Glossed erroneously as ‘blood’ in Nikulin 2016b.
6 This item is of significant cultural importance for the speakers of Cerrado languages. It denotes the seeds of a plant called tiririca or capim-navalha in Portuguese, which are used for making collars.
(3) Proto-Core Jê *e ~ Panará 3
   a. Proto-Core Jê *prekē ‘tall’ ~ PNR py3 ‘big, tall’;
   b. Proto-Core Jê *ka'brekē ‘red’ ~ PNR n3\textsuperscript{p}r3 / r3\textsuperscript{p}r3.

If only these comparisons are correct, we are dealing here with a correspondence Proto-Core Jê *e ~ Panará 3 (note that Proto-Core Jê *e is known to correspond to Panará e and Proto-Core Jê *3 regularly corresponds to Panará 3). It must go back either to PNJ *e or to PNJ *3; either Proto-Core Jê or Panará must have undergone a conditioned vowel change. In order to determine the directionality of this change, external data might turn out to be useful. The word for ‘red’ happens to have likely cognates in Central Jê: PCJ *pre: ‘red’ > XAV pre:-(di), XER pre:-(di), Salinas Xavante hoy=pye, Xakriabá (Saint-Hilaire) oi=pre-de <oïpredê>, Akroá šikuca=brɔ <schikutzabrô>. PCJ *e is known to correspond to PNJ *3 (see subsection 3.2), so the vowel in Panará appears to be more archaic. However, the decision to reconstruct PNJ *3 for the correspondence in question is problematic: PNJ *r is known to have yielded Panará y before non-front vowels (Nikulin 2016b: 170–171; Carvalho 2016: 66), which did not occur in PNR n3\textsuperscript{p}r3/r3\textsuperscript{p}r3. Reconstructing a front vowel for the same correspondence would not solve the issue: in this case PNR *p3 would be expected instead of PNR py3.

The correspondence remains therefore unexplained and awaits further investigation.

1.2.2. Apinayé and Kayapó

In my description of the distribution of Apinayé and Kayapó reflexes of PNJ *t (Nikulin 2016b: 172) I failed to observe that the reflex ḳ is most consistently found in PNJ stems whose initial consonant is a non-alternating *t. The most salient examples are provided in (4) below.

(4) PNJ *t > API, KAY ḳ
   a. PNJ *tːi ‘bone’ > KAY ḳːi (Apinayé innovated and now uses both yi and i);
   b. PNJ *tːo ‘leaf, a hair’ > API ḳːo, KAY ḳːo;
   c. PNJ *tːi ‘seed’ > API ḳːi, KAY ḳːi;
   d. PNJ *tekɛ / *tekɛ ‘to deceive’ > API ḳeːcɛ / ḳɛt (but KAY et).

Note that these stems do not behave as usual class II stems in terms of Rodrigues (2012), since they suffer no modification when an internal argument expressed with a full NP immediately precedes them\(^7\). Nevertheless, their initial consonant changes to a reflex of PNJ *y or *ɖ when their internal argument is expressed with a person-marking prefix (e.g. API ḳːn–mʊ a=ĉeyɛ ket-nɛ ‘don’t lie to me!’, KAY i=yo ‘my (single) hair’). This fact leads Salanova (2011: 79) to consider that these consonants are present in the underlying representation of the person-marking prefixes in these languages, arguing that in Apinayé they surface even before consonants. However, the latter observation is valid only for the first person prefix (iɛ-), but not for the second person prefix (a-). Be that as it may, the presence of these consonants in the inflected forms of the stems under consideration is likely an innovation caused by analogy with true class II stems.

\(^7\) The stems that belong to class II are expected to exhibit the following initial consonants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PNJ</th>
<th>Apinayé</th>
<th>Kayapó</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>after a full NP (uninflected):</td>
<td>*y, *ɖ</td>
<td>ž, č</td>
<td>y, ŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after a person-marking prefix:</td>
<td>*y, *ɖ</td>
<td>ž, č</td>
<td>y, ŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elsewhere (third person):</td>
<td>*t</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These predictions are valid both within frameworks that treat these segments as prefixes (Rodrigues 2012) and within frameworks that consider *y ~ *ɖ and their reflexes as integral parts of roots.
1.2.3. Tapayúna

Camargo (2015) offers the most complete description of Tapayúna, but this work has not been taken into consideration in my earlier research. It helps fill one of the gaps in the description of the historical phonology of Tapayúna found in Nikulin 2016b, as shown below in (5).

(5)  PNJ *g > TAP k, SUY k
    a. PNJ *ga ‘2.NOM’ > TAP, SUY ka;
    b. PNJ *ga ‘to roast (finite)’ > TAP, SUY ka;
    c. PNJ *gu ‘1INCL.NOM’ > TAP ko, SUY ku.

There is one curious fact about the transcription system employed in Camargo 2015: the phonemes m and w recognized by the same author elsewhere (Camargo 2010) are systematically rendered as w, and the character m is not used in the transcriptions altogether. This is not stated explicitly anywhere in the cited work. It is not entirely clear whether the correct explanation of this fact involves transcription issues or an ongoing sound change, but it must bear some relation to the apparently irregular instances of PNJ **b > TAP w, as attested by Camargo (2010). Examples of the latter are taken from (Nikulin 2016: 175) and reproduced in (6) below.

(6)  PNJ **b > TAP w:
    a. PNJ *(a=)ka’bɔtɔ ‘night’ > TAP a=gawɔɾɔ;
    b. PNJ *bɛd ’honey’ > TAP wey (but TAP *bɛ-ti ‘bee’).

Further fieldwork on Tapayúna should clarify the issue.

Another claim in my earlier work that has been invalidated by new data referred to the impossibility of CCC-structured onsets in Tapayúna (Nikulin 2016b: 176). These are now known to be allowed, cf. Tapayúna "gawa-to ‘moriche palm leaf’ (although the former root is attested as ‘gawɔ ‘moriche palm’ in isolation).

1.3. Structure of the paper

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with Central Jê languages and the reconstruction of Proto-Central Jê. Onsets (subsection 2.1), syllable nuclei (subsection 2.2) and syllable-final and word-final phenomena (subsection 2.3) are tackled separately. In section 3, I compare my reconstructions of Proto-Central Jê and Proto-Northern Jê and arrive at a phonological reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado onsets (subsection 3.1), nuclei (subsection 3.2) and codas (subsection 3.3). A non-exhaustive list of Cerrado etymologies is provided in section 4. I conclude with section 5 where I discuss the findings presented in this paper and suggest topics for future research in Cerrado historical phonology.

2. Proto-Central Jê

Central Jê (Akwẽ, Akuwẽ*) languages constitute a phylum of closely related languages formerly spoken over a vast area in Central Brazil, centered on the Tocantins watershed and reaching the headwaters of the Parnaiba and the São Francisco in the east (since 19th century, Xavânte has also been spoken as far west as the Araguaia River due to a recent expansion

---

* The endonyms of Xavânte and Xerénte are, respectively, ʔaʔuwẽ and akwẽ. These forms are reconstructible to Proto-Central Jê (PCJ *akuwẽ according to the correspondences described in this section below).
event). Of these, only Xavánte and Xerénte are currently spoken. Akroá, Xakriabá and the Salinas dialect of Xavánte are now extinct and their attestation is limited to short wordlists collected by Auguste de Saint-Hilaire, Wilhelm Ludwig von Eschwege, Karl Friedrich Philipp von Martius, Johann Baptist von Spix (Martius 1867) and Paul Ehrenreich (Ehrenreich 1895).

Akroá and Xakriabá wordlists have been tackled by Carvalho and Damulakis (2015), while some peculiarities of the Salinas dialect of Xavánte are discussed by Nikulin (2015: 27–29). Fragmentary nature of available data, combined with low internal diversity of the Central Jê group, makes the contribution of Akroá, Xakriabá and Salinas Xavánte data to the reconstruction of Proto-Central Jê minimal. For the most part of this subsection, only Xavánte and Xerénte data are considered below.

Xavánte and Xerénte share much of their irregular morphophonology. Phenomena like alternations and prefix substitution at the left margin of the stems, as well as nominalization via suffixing are also found in Northern Jê (and are easily projected onto Proto-Cerrado). Another phenomenon typical of Central Jê languages is the existence of special utterance-final allomorphs: a number of stems undergo drastic changes when they are preceded by a pause. These will be considered at the end of this section (2.3).

2.1. Onset

The correspondences between Xavánte and Xerénte onsets are rather straightforward and are exposed below in Table 1.

Table 1. Proto-Central Jê onsets and their reflexes in Xavánte and Xerénte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCJ</th>
<th>XAV</th>
<th>XER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*pr</td>
<td>pr</td>
<td>pr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*c</td>
<td>c - s</td>
<td>s - s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kr</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>kr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kw</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>kw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*km</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*br</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*z</td>
<td>z - z³</td>
<td>z - z, zd - zd, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*mr</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9 Quintino (2000: 39, 46) analyzes both /z/ and /j/ as phonemes, stating that there is some variation between the two in oral contexts. However, this claim is not illustrated with any minimal or near-minimal pair.

10 According to Quintino (2000: 39–40, 2012: 125), before back nasal vowels the allophone [ŋ] is found instead of [n]. This phenomenon is not attested by other researchers. In fact, Pickering (2010: 63) explicitly states that in his data [ŋ] is found both before back and front nasal vowels, noting that Quintino’s data come from speakers from...
Note that voiced stops and nasals are in complementary distribution both in Proto-Central Jê and in Xavânte: at least in the onset position, voiced stops occur in syllables with oral nuclei, whereas nasals occur only in syllables with nasal nuclei (McLeod 1974; Quintino 2000: 39–41, 2012: 124–125; Pickering 2010: 62, 73–77, 76–77)\(^\text{11}\). However, just like in Nikulin 2016b, I prefer to distinguish these allophones in my transcription. Note that the allophony in question was phonemicized in Xerénte due to syllabic reduction that eliminated some of the nuclei that had triggered the choice of the allophone in Proto-Central Jê (Mattos 1973).

Although most correspondences presented in Table 1 are trivial, several comments are in order.

2.1.1. The reconstruction of PCJ *c and *ʒ

Xavânte, Akroá and Xakriabá reflexes of PCJ phonemes reconstructed here as *c and *ʒ suggest that they should be reconstructed as stops or affricates rather than fricatives. The phonetic realization of the reflexes is discussed below.

McLeod (1974) describes the Xavânte reflexes as fluctuating between [c ~ s ~ š ~ s] and [ʒ ~ z ~ ż ~ z] respectively, Quintino (2000: 44–45, 2012: 45) attests [c ~ s] and [ʒ ~ z] in plain speech register, while in Pickering’s (2010: 63) data only fricatives ([s] and [z]) are found. According to Xavânte speaker Euzebio Prowari (apud Pickering 2010: 63), the affricates [c] and [ʒ] are a characteristic feature of the speech of the Xavântes from the Indigenous Areas Pimentel Barbosa and Areões. I transcribe the phonemes in question as c and ʒ hereinafter, since affricates are more likely to represent the more archaic state than fricatives.

In Salinas Xavânte, PCJ *c is reflected as s (sometimes š), while *ʒ is usually reflected as θ (sometimes s).

In Xerénte, PCJ palatal stops normally yielded fricatives. These fricatives are attested as retroflex [ʂ, ʐ] in earlier works (Mattos 1973; Krieger & Krieger 1994: XIII–XIV; Braggio 2004: 269), but in modern Xerénte they are mostly articulated as alveolar ([s, z]) according to more recent works (Sousa Filho 2007: 73; Souza 2008: 72–74; Grannier 2009: 252; Frazão 2013: 56; Co-trim 2016: 59). It is likely that we are dealing here with a very recent sound change. I shall transcribe Xerénte fricatives as retroflex in this paper.

In some words Xerénte displays aberrant reflexes of PCJ *ʒ (namely, XER żd or d), for which I am unable to offer an explanation. Note that these irregular reflexes often occur in variation with plain fricatives or with each other, e.g. kbaźdi-kre ~ khadi-kre ‘hammock’, dako ~ zako ‘to climb’, dapaka ~ zapaka ‘to desire’, darōtē ~ zārōtō ‘to jump’, zawre ~ dawer ‘big’, ṭa ~ tā ‘to stand’, etc. Sousa Filho (2007: 255–256) analyzes alternating d- and ż- as allomorphs of the same mor-

\(^{11}\) Quintino (2012: 123–124) provides a minimal pair ([da-di] ‘GNR-belly’ vs. [na-di] ‘mother-IMP’), but concludes that in the latter case the vowel of the root is underlyingly nasalized. All other researchers invariably list the form nā ‘mother’.
pheme (the ‘relational prefix’ /z-/), suggesting that the occurrence of the forms with d- and ŋ- is not grammatically conditioned, but does not provide any explanation for the causes of the variation in question.

It is important to note that in a number of stems stem-initial PCJ *c alternated with *ʒ (in oral contexts) or *ɲ (in nasal contexts). The *c-initial allomorph occurred only after the second person prefix *ay-, after the third person prefix *ŋ- and in third person forms without an overt prefix, as is still the case in Xerénte (Sousa Filho 2007: 247–255) and Xavánte (Estevam 2011: 138; Hall et al. 1987: 286–287). Some examples are provided in (7) below.

(7)  PCJ *ʒ and *c
   a. PCJ *-ʒa ‘to stand’ > XAV -ʒa, XER -ʒa ~ -da;
   b. PCJ *-ʒadawa ‘mouth’ > XAV -ʒadawa, XER -ʒdawa;
   c. PCJ *-ɲɔ̃ytɔ ‘tongue’ > XAV -ɲɔ̃ytɔ ~ -ɲɔ̃tɔ, XER -ɲɔ̃ytɔ;
   d. PCJ *ay-ca ‘you stand’ > XAV a-ca, XER ay-ʒa;
   e. PCJ *ay-cadawa ‘your mouth’ > XAV a-cadawa, XER ay-ʒdawa;
   f. PCJ *ay-cɔ̃ytɔ ‘your tongue’ > XAV a-cɔ̃ytɔ, XER ay-ʃɔ̃tytɔ.

While most authors agree that the phenomenon in question can be described as a stem-internal alternation, Sousa Filho (2007) considers that Xerénte -ʒ-, -d-, -n- and -ʃ- are separate prefixes (‘relational prefixes’ in the author’s terms). In this work I adopt the former analysis.

In Akroá and Xakriabá, PCJ *c seems to have been reflected as s (sometimes š in Xakriabá), while PCJ *ʒ appears to have yielded č (sometimes c in Akroá). In the latter case the data also allow for a voiced interpretation of the reflex(es) (Carvalho and Damulakis 2015: 40).

2.1.2. Debuccalization of PCJ *k in Xavánte

It is well-known that *k has been historically debuccalized in Xavánte, changing to ŋ (Rodrigues 1999: 178; Carvalho and Damulakis 2015: 24–26). It did not affect the Salinas dialect of Xavánte, as attested by Ehrenreich (1895), nor is it visible in the wordlists collected by Francis de Castelnau and Johann Emmanuel Pohl in the 19th century (Rodrigues 2004; Carvalho and Damulakis 2015: 25)12, suggesting that the development *k > ŋ took place in the late 19th or early 20th century.

2.1.3. The depalatalization of PCJ *ɲ in Xerénte

PCJ *ɲ (allophone of PCJ *ʒ in nasal contexts) was unconditionally depalatalized to n in Xerénte, thus phonemicizing the allophony reconstructible for PCJ. Note that the same process occurred independently in other Macro-Jê languages, notably in Southern Jê and in Jabutí (Ribeiro & Voort 2010: 565–566).

2.2. Nucleus

The correspondences between Xerénte and Xavánte vowels are straightforward, as presented in Table 2 below.

12 Note that Rodrigues (2004: 117–118) hypothesizes that the variety attested by Castelnau and Pohl is not the direct ancestor of modern Xavánte. This assumption is needed to account for the loss of k in Karajá male speech (evidenced by data from 1844), which Rodrigues attributes to Xavánte influence. If Rodrigues’s hypothesis is correct, absolute dating for the sound change in question is not recoverable from historical sources.
Table 2. Proto-Central Jê vowels and their reflexes in Xavânte and Xerénte.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCJ</th>
<th>XAV</th>
<th>XER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ə</td>
<td>ə</td>
<td>ə</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ɔ</td>
<td>ɔ</td>
<td>ɔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ɛ</td>
<td>ɛ</td>
<td>ɛ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ĩ</td>
<td>ĩ</td>
<td>ĩ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two PCJ vowels, *i and *o, were extremely rare, as are their reflexes in both languages. They are only found in a handful of words, like the postposition *ɔo / *co 'looking for, pursuing', the TAM marker *to, the verb *kɾiki 'to cry.PL' or the noun *ziçi / *zići 'grasshopper'. In fact, the phonemic status of i and o is not recognized by Pickering (2010: 65, 163–165) for Xavânte, whereas some authors do not distinguish between Xerénte ə and i (Sousa Filho 2007: 74; Frazão 2013: 54).

A non-trivial development occurred in Xavânte: PCJ *ĩ was denasalized to i after c (for example, PCJ *ɲĩ / *cĩwi / *cĩwi ‘on the surface of’ > XAV ɲĩ / *cĩwi, XER ɲĩwi / ɲĩwi; PCJ *kmã-cǐcĩ ‘to fill’ > XAV ʔmã-cǐcĩ, XER kmã-ỹĩĩ). For this reason, the sequence /cĩ/ does not occur synchronically in Xavânte (Pickering 2010: 75, 153).

One very important, but poorly understood process that deeply affected Xerénte phonology and phonotactics consists in vowel elision (Mattos 1973; Ribeiro & Voort 2010: 554; Frazão 2013: 85). It massively eliminated PCJ vowels under uncertain conditions (the factors possibly included stress position, consonantal environment and position within the utterance), leading to the emergence of alternating forms like hə-ɾə / h-ɾə / h-ɾ ‘to shout.NF’, kɾu-ku / kr-ku / kɾu-k ‘to din.NF’. The distribution of these allomorphs is underdescribed and is of particular importance for the understanding of Xerénte historical phonology. Whatever might have been the original conditions for vowel elision in Xerénte, the situation observed in this language is clearly innovative when compared to Xavânte. For this reason, I assume that Xavânte syllabification can be projected onto the PCJ level.

Vowel length is contrastive in Xavânte; it has been described in autosegmental terms. Long vowels are mostly found under specific prosodic or syntactic conditions (in utterance-final allomorphic or before the particle hɔ), except when they arise via compensatory lengthening that accompanies the elision of b before labials and ? (see subsection 2.3). Since nothing suggests that length contrast is innovative in Xavânte, I assume it was already present in PCJ and was lost in Xerénte.

2.3. Coda and utterance-final allomorphs

Xavânte allows two underlying codas: a labial coda (surface realizations include [b], [m], [p], [Ø] and lengthening of the previous vowel) and a palatal coda (surface realizations include [y], [Ø] and lengthening of the following consonant). They are analyzed as /b/ and /z/ by Pickering (2010: 179–220) and treated as suprasegmental phenomena by Burgess (1971).
As a consequence of massive vowel elision, Xerénte phonotactic restrictions are very different from those of Xavánte and from those that can be reconstructed for Proto-Central Jê. However, both underlying Xavánte codas have their correspondences in Xerénte. These are summarized in Table 3 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCJ</th>
<th>XAV</th>
<th>XER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*/y/</td>
<td>*/y/</td>
<td>*/y/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*y</td>
<td>y (before p, b, m, w, r, h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y – lengthening of the following C (before ʔ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lengthening of the following C (before t, d, n, ɲ, c, ʒ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*[ø]</td>
<td>Ø (utterance-finally)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*/m/</td>
<td>*/m/</td>
<td>*/m/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*[m]</td>
<td>m (before t, c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m – b (before d, ʒ, r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m (before n, ɲ, h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b – lengthening of the preceding V (before ʔ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*[ː]</td>
<td>lengthening of the preceding V (before labials)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*[ø]</td>
<td>Ø (utterance-finally)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As is evident from Table 3 above, the coda allophony observed in Xavánte can be partly projected onto the PCJ level because it has exact correspondences in Xerénte. Namely, both in Xavánte and Xerénte both codas fail to surface in utterance-final position, and the labial coda has no consonantal realization preceding another labial consonant. Although it is possible to consider that PCJ had two underlying codas, they must have had multiple surface realizations already in PCJ. The allophony in question is exemplified in (8) below.

(8) Allophonic realizations of PCJ */-m/ and */-y/

a. PCJ */-tem/ ‘new’:
   *te (utterance-finally) > XAV te, XER te;
   *tem (utterance-medially, not before labials) > XAV tem / tēb / tep, XER tem
   *te (before labials) > XAV tɛ, XER tɛ.

b. PCJ */ṭy/ ‘rain’:
   *tɔ (utterance-finally) > XAV tɔ, XER tɔ;
   *ṭy (utterance-medially) > XAV tɔ̯- / ṭɔ̱- / ṭɔ-/, XER ṭy.

The existence of utterance-medial and utterance-final allomorphs is not specific to PCJ morphemes that end underlingly in */-m/ or */-y/ or their modern Xavánte and Xerénte reflexes. This type of allomorphy is attested both in Xavánte, where it is well described (Hall et al. 13 Note that in Xerénte aŋ, aŋ are in free variation with e, ī (Krieger & Krieger 1994: X). Sometimes a nasalized coda (ŋ) is found in Xerénte; although the issue needs further investigation, I hypothesize that this happens before reflexes of PCerr prenasalized codas: cf. hɐŋ-riu ~ hɐŋ- ‘bark, skin’ + *koy ‘leaf’ and hɐŋ-kře ‘to dry leather’ (cf. PCerr *kox ‘dry’), ḥɐŋ-pe ‘with a beautiful skin’ (cf. PCerr *beč ‘good’), hɐŋ-kɐ ‘to warm up by the fire’ (cf. PCerr *γkə ‘to heat’). Given the cursory nature of this hypothesis, I do not reconstruct this apparent contrast to Proto-Central Jê. |
1987: 274–285; McLeod & Mitchell 2003: 54; Estevam 2011: 139–143), and in Xerénte, where it is mentioned in passim (Siqueira 2003: 42). This allomorphy is systematized in Table 5 below; for each allomorphy class, a PCJ reconstruction is proposed.

Table 4. Allomorphy conditioned by the position within the utterance in PCJ, Xavânte and Xerénte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCJ</th>
<th>notes</th>
<th>XAV</th>
<th>XER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>medial</td>
<td>final</td>
<td>medial</td>
<td>final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-VkV</td>
<td>*-V</td>
<td>-V?V</td>
<td>-(V)k(V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-VbV</td>
<td>*-V</td>
<td>-VbV</td>
<td>-bV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-VcV</td>
<td>*-V</td>
<td>-VcV</td>
<td>-(V)bV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Class B. The last syllable is elided in the utterance-final allomorph; the utterance-medial allomorph is affected by nasalization\(^\text{14}\)

| *-Vm\(\tilde{V}\) | *-V          | -Vm\(\tilde{V}\) | -(V)m\(\tilde{V}\) |
| *-Vn\(\tilde{V}\) | *-V          | -Vn\(\tilde{V}\) | -(V)n\(\tilde{V}\) |

Class C. PCJ *-aba // *-wa\(^\text{15}\)

| *-aba     | *-wa         | *-aba | *-wa | -ba | -wa |

Class D. The vowel of the penultimate syllable is lengthened in the utterance-final allomorph, and the consonant that immediately follows it becomes voiced (unless it is already voiced)

| *-VpV     | *-VbV        | oral nucleus | -VpV | -VbV | -(V)p(V) | -bV |
| *-Vt\(\tilde{V}\)/ | *-V\(\tilde{V}\) | oral / nasal nucleus | -Vt\(\tilde{V}\)/ | -V\(\tilde{V}\) | -(V)t(V) | -dV/\(\tilde{V}\) |
| *-Vd\(\tilde{V}\)/ | *-V\(\tilde{V}\) | oral / nasal nucleus | -Vd\(\tilde{V}\)/ | -V\(\tilde{V}\) |
| *-Vn\(\tilde{V}\) | *-V\(\tilde{V}\) | oral / nasal nucleus | -Vn\(\tilde{V}\)/ | -V\(\tilde{V}\) |
| *-V\(\tilde{V}\) | *-V\(\tilde{V}\) | oral / nasal nucleus | -V\(\tilde{V}\)/ | -V\(\tilde{V}\) |

Class E. The final vowel is long in the utterance-medial allomorph but short in the utterance-final allomorph

| *-V:      | *-V          | oral nucleus | -V: | -V | no alternations |

Notes. (1) The notation -VCV (-VpV, -V\(\tilde{V}\)V, …) presupposes that the vowels that flank the consonant are identical. (2) \(\tilde{V}\) stands for nasal vowels. V stands for any vowel (oral or nasal), unless it is found to the left of a slash (like in *-Vt\(\tilde{V}\)*, *-V\(\tilde{V}\)\(\tilde{V}\)\(\tilde{V}\)*), in which case it stands for an oral vowel.

\(^{14}\) Note that the vowel in the utterance-final allomorphs of class B stems may be either oral (like in XAV \(\ddot{m}\)m\(\ddot{m}\) // to 'eye') or nasal (like in XAV m\(\ddot{m}\)m // m\(\ddot{m}\) 'firewood'). In the former case the nasality of the vowel predictably affects the realization of a preceding voiced consonant in the utterance-medial allomorphs (like in XAV \(\ddot{m}\)m\(\ddot{m}\) // du 'belly'). Moreover, in all Central Jê languages the inventory of nasal vowels is smaller than that of oral vowels, and for this reason multiple vowels found in utterance-final allomorphs may correspond to one and the same vowel in utterance-medial allomorphs of class B stems. For example, Xavânte stems whose word-medial allomorphs contain -\(\ddot{m}\)-- may end in -\(\ddot{m}\) - or -u in the utterance-final position, e.g. m\(\ddot{m}\)m\(\ddot{m}\)/m\(\ddot{m}\) 'habitual aspect particle', \(\ddot{m}\)m\(\ddot{m}\) // to 'eye', n\(\ddot{m}\)m // du 'belly'.

\(^{15}\) The alternation -aba // -wa may affect the realization of the preceding segment in Xavânte (and presumably also in PCJ). For example, in XAV m\(\ddot{m}\)p\(\ddot{m}\)e\(\ddot{m}\)baba // m\(\ddot{m}\)p\(\ddot{m}\)e\(\ddot{m}\)baba 'father-in-law, mother-in-law' underlying /m/ is predictably realized as [b] before -aba, but as vowel length before the labial consonant -w (this is described in the subsection above).
A minimal number of exceptions to these generalizations is found. In the Xavánte reflex of PCJ \(^{*}\)ɲĩ=tete \(\sim\) \(\)ɲĩ=p=tete ‘to be strong’ \(\sim\) \(\)ɲĩ=p=teː de ‘to get strong, to make an effort, to recover’), the medial consonant does not undergo voicing in the utterance-final allomorph, as expected; however, the Xerénte reflex is regular \(\sim\) \(\)ɲĩ=p=tete \(\sim\) \(\)ɲĩ=p=teː de. In PCJ \(^{*}\)pati \(\sim\) \(\)padi ‘southern tamandua’ \(\sim\) \(\)pati də ‘southern tamandua’ \(\sim\) \(\)pati də, XER \(\)padi, pat-re), unlike in other class D stems, the vowels flanking the alternating consonant are not identical. The stem PCJ \(^{*}\)kutə \(\sim\) \(\)kuhəː də ‘tapir’ \(\sim\) \(\)kuhəː də, XER \(\)ktə \(\sim\) \(\)kdə would be expected) exhibits loss of a medial syllable in the utterance-medial allomorph (PCJ **kuhətə would be expected).

Although I do not make an attempt at postulating a morphophonemic analysis for each class of the allomorphy, it is tempting to consider that the final vowels of the stems of classes A, B and D are absent from their underlying representation, since their quality is entirely predictable: they are always a copy of the vowel of the penultimate syllable. This fact allows for a rhyme treatment of the bisyllabic sequences participating in the alternations; for example, the underlying representation of PCJ \(^{*}\)bak3tɔ \(\sim\) \(\)bak3nɔ ‘girl’ \(\sim\) \(\)bak3nɔ, XER \(\)bagkɔ would include the rhyme */-t/ and the coda */-t/.

In the remainder of this paper I will use segmental reconstruction for the alternating stems, despite being aware that it is possible to posit morphophonemic rules accounting for the allomorphy.

### 3. Proto-Cerrado

Now that phonological reconstructions are available for Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê, it is possible to assess the reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado. Onsets, syllable nuclei and codas will be tackled separately.

#### 3.1. Onset

An allophonic process targeting underlying nasal consonants is reconstructed by me for Proto-Northern Jê. It triggers the surface realization of underlying nasal consonants */m/, */n/, */ŋ/ and */ŋ/ as prenasalized voiced obstruents (*\(\)b, *\(\)d, *\(\)b, *\(\)g) in oral contexts (as defined by the nasality of the nucleus). It is important to note that an essentially identical process is attested in Macro-Jê languages beyond the Cerrado branch (see D’Angelis 1998 for Kaingáng, Pessoa 2012 for Krenák and Voort 2007: 138 for Arikapú) and thus must go back to Proto-Macro-Jê.

The correspondences between Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê onsets, as well as the proposed Proto-Cerrado reconstructions for these correspondences, are exposed below in Table 5.

As is evident from the table, Proto-Northern Jê faithfully retains the contrasts that existed in Proto-Cerrado. The only innovation that I posit for the history of Proto-Northern Jê onsets is the fortition of PCerr *w (in all environments) and *y (in stressed syllables), as exemplified in (8) below.

---

16 Note that this phenomenon is highly reminiscent of Proto-Northern Jê echo vowels (Nikulin 2016b: 168–168, 182–183).

17 The variation \(kt \sim kt, kd \sim kd\) is widely attested in Xerênte (see Frazão 2013: 46).

A phonological reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado (Jê family)

Table 5. Proto-Cerrado onsets and their reflexes in Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCerr</th>
<th>PNJ</th>
<th>PCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>before oral vowels</td>
<td>before nasal vowels</td>
<td>before oral vowels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*p(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*p(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*p(ᵩ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**#p(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*#m(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*#p(ᵩ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td>*t</td>
<td>*t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*k(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*k(ᵩ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**g(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*η(ᵩ)</td>
<td>*g(ᵩ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g</td>
<td>*g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*b</td>
<td>*w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>*r</td>
<td>*r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Ø</td>
<td>*Ø</td>
<td>*Ø</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† *h before *i, *y elsewhere. ‡ *d in stressed syllables, *y in unstressed syllables. § *c before *i, *y elsewhere. ¶ PCerr *kᵩ, *gᵩ, *k(ᵩ)i > PCJ *hᵩ; PCerr *ka- > PCJ *wᵩ- (in initial unstressed syllables).

(8) Fortition in Proto-Northern Jê
a. PCerr *wᵩ / *wᵩᵩ ‘to kill’ > PNJ *bᵩ / *bᵩᵩ, PCJ *wᵩ / *wᵩᵩ;
b. PCerr *wᵩ ‘I’ > PNJ *bᵩ, PCJ *wᵩ;
c. PCerr *weke ‘partridge’ > PNJ *beke, PCJ *wiki;
d. PCerr *kuyᵩ ‘bad smell, to stink’ > PNJ *kuᵩᵩ, PCJ *kuᵩᵩ, etc.

Note that Proto-Northern Jê has both *w and *y in stressed syllables, but only in words that lack an established Cerrado, Jê or Macro-Jê etymology, like PNJ *yᵩᵩᵩ ‘sweet potato’, *weᵩᵩ ‘butterfly’. These may be explained away as borrowings from an unknown source or attributed to a substrate North Amazonian language, whose existence is corroborated by certain lexical similarities between Proto-Northern Jê, Nadahup and Yanomami languages (Nikulin & Carvalho 2017: 38).

Proto-Central Jê appears to be much less conservative than Proto-Northern Jê. The most important change that affected its onsets was the merger of voiceless and voiced occlusive consonants; it is described in more detail in subsection 3.1.1 below. Other conditioned sound changes are tackled in subsections 3.1.2–5.

3.1.1. Occlusive merger

The merger of Proto-Cerrado voiceless and voiced occlusives rearranged the distribution of most of the onsets. PCerr *k and **g normally yield PCJ *k in all contexts, as shown in (9) below.
(9) Occlusive merger in velars
   a. PCerr *kakê ‘to cough’ > PNJ *kakê, PCJ *kaka;
   b. PCerr *kra ‘offspring’ > PNJ *kra, PCJ *kra: // *kra;
   c. PCerr *kêtê ‘stone’ > PNJ *kênê, PCJ *kêrê // *kênê;
   d. PCerr *krêy ‘head’ > PNJ *krõ, PCJ *krõy // *krõ ‘head, fruit’;
   e. PCerr *krê ‘parakeet’ > PNJ *krê, PCJ *krê;
   f. PCerr *krê / *krê-r / *krê-nê ‘to eat’ > PNJ *krê / *krê-r, PCJ *krê / *krê-nê;
   g. PCerr *ku trịm ‘fire’ > PNJ *kutí, PCJ *ku nêmô // *kuça;
   h. PCerr *goy ‘water’ > PNJ *go(y(-), PCJ *kuy // *ku ‘still water’;
   i. PCerr *gere ‘dry’ > PNJ *gere, PCJ *kê;
   j. PCerr *gere ‘egg’ > PNJ *gere, PCJ *kê ‘egg’ etc.

As for PCerr labial and coronal obstruents, reflexes vary. Before nasal nuclei, both voiceless and voiced occlusives yielded nasal consonants (10).

(10) Occlusive merger in labials and coronals before PCerr nasal nuclei
   a. PCerr *pimí ‘firewood’ > PNJ *pî ‘wood’, PCJ *mîmi // *mî;
   b. PCerr *prê ‘wife’ > PNJ *prê, PCJ *mrô;
   c. PCerr *prôm ‘hungry’ > PNJ *prôm ‘hungry, to want’, PCJ *mrô // *mrô;
   d. PCerr *môy ‘greater rhea’ > PNJ *mô, PCJ *môy // *mô;
   e. PCerr *mêy ‘to throw, sc’ > PNJ *mêy // *mê-n, PCJ *mêy // *mê;
   f. PCerr *tê / *tê-m ‘to go, sc’ > PNJ *tê / *tê-m, PCJ *nê-m // *nê ‘to go, du’;
   g. PCerr *têy ‘brother’ > PNJ *ti, PCJ *nêy // *nê ‘younger sibling of the same sex’;
   h. PCerr *nô / *nô-prê ‘to lie, sc’ > PNJ *nô / *nô-r, PCJ *nô / *nô-mrô, etc.

Before Proto-Cerrado high oral nuclei, voiced obstruent reflexes are found (11).

(11) Occlusive merger in labials and coronals before PCerr high nuclei
   a. PCerr *purê ‘field’ > PNJ *purê, PCJ *buru // *buru;
   b. PCerr *piy ‘achiote’ > PNJ *pi, PCJ *bo // *bo;
   c. PCerr *butê ‘neck’ > PNJ *butê, PCJ *butu // *buru;
   d. PCerr *bitê ‘sun’ > PNJ *bitê, PCJ *bota // *bada;
   e. PCerr *binê ‘tail, penis’ > PNJ *bi, PCJ *mônô // *bo;
   f. PCerr *tikê ‘belly’ > PNJ *tê, PCJ *dikî // *di;
   g. PCerr *tu / *tu-r ‘to carry’ > PNJ *tu / *tu-r, PCJ *du // *du-ri;
   h. PCerr *tumû ‘belly’ > PNJ *tu, PCJ *nêmô // *du, etc.

Before other Proto-Cerrado oral nuclei, voiceless obstruents are the regular outcome (12).

(12) Occlusive merger in labials and coronals before PCerr non-high nuclei
   a. PCerr *kupe ‘to touch, to move’ > PNJ *kupe, PCJ *kupi;
   b. PCerr *parê ‘foot’ > PNJ *parê, PCJ *para // *para;
   c. PCerr *ba ‘liver’ > PNJ *ba, PCJ *pa;
   d. PCerr *bro ‘ashes’ > PNJ *bro, PCJ *pro ‘powder, foam’;
   e. PCerr *ka’broy ‘blood’ > PNJ *ka’bro, PCJ *wa’pu // *wa’pu;
   f. PCerr *terê ‘fruit of a k. of palm’ > PNJ *terê, PCJ *ti /// *ti ‘bacuri coconut’;
   g. PCerr *te ‘tick’ > PNJ *te, PCJ *ti;
   h. PCerr *têb’ ‘new’ > PNJ *têb’ ‘raw’, PCJ *te / *te;
   i. PCerr *tepê ‘fish’ > PNJ *tepê, PCJ *tepe // *tebe;
   j. PCerr *nêyto ‘tongue’ > PNJ *nêto, PCJ *nêyto;
   k. PCerr *dêmô ‘eye’ > PNJ *dês(p-), PCJ *têmô // *to, etc.
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Note that the process described in this subsection occurred prior to the raising of Proto-Cerrado mid-high vowels in Central Jê (PCerr *e > PCJ *i, PCerr *o > PCJ *u) and prior to the lowering of PCerr *i > PCJ *ə. That way, the contrast between Proto-Cerrado syllables like *te and *ti survives in Proto-Central Jê as *ti and *di (cf. 11f and 12f–g).

The situation with Proto-Cerrado palatal occlusives is slightly more complicated. Many Proto-Cerrado inflectable stems are subject to the alternation of their initial consonant: their uninflected form features a stem-initial *y- (*y- before nasal vowels) and their third person form starts with a *t-, cf. PCerr *ywa ‘tooth’ (third person *tywa), PCerr *ɲə̃ytɔ ‘tongue’ (third person *tɔytɔ). It is likely that alternating PCerr *t- and *y- / *ŋ- remain distinct in Central Jê languages. For example, PCerr *ɲɨkɔpɔ (third person *tɨkɔpɔ) ‘nail, claw’ yielded PCJ *ɲikɔpɔ // *ŋikɔbɔ, which appears as *-cikɔpɔ // *-cikɔbɔ after the prefixes *ay- (second person) and *i- (third person). If PCJ allomorphs do reflect PCJ uninflected form and third person form respectively, the merger of palatal occlusives in PCJ has to be considered incomplete.

However, the Central Jê reflexes of non-alternating PCerr *t, as well as of its voiced counterpart *d, display a behavior similar to *p, *t, *b and *d. The relevant cognate sets are provided in (13) below.

(13) Merger of PCJ palatal occlusives
a. PCerr *tɔy ‘leaf, a hair’ > PNJ *tɔ, PCJ *cuy / *cu;
b. PCerr *ya= ‘nest’ > PNJ *ya=, PCJ *ja=;
c. PCerr *ka= ‘star’ > PNJ *ka=, PCJ *waci;
d. PCerr *ŋa / *ŋa-‘to bite’ > PNJ *ŋa / *ŋa-; PCJ *ca / *ca-ri;
e. PCerr *ti= ‘seed’ > PNJ *ti, PCJ *ɲi=mɔ // *ʒɔ;
f. PCerr *ku= ‘fire’ > PNJ *ku, PCJ *ɲu= / *ku=;
g. PCerr *ti ‘meat’ > PNJ *ti, PCJ *ni=.

The following distribution is found in Proto-Central Jê: a voiceless reflex (PCJ *c) is found before PCerr non-high oral vowels (13a–d), a voiced reflex (PCJ *ʒ) is found before PCerr high oral vowels (13e–f), and a nasal reflex (PCJ *ŋ) occurs preceding a nasal vowel (13g). A more profound investigation of Central Jê historical morphology should shed light on the details of the operation of the occlusive merger at the palatal point of articulation.

3.1.2. PCerr *ka- > PCJ *wa:-

As has already been noted by Davis (1966: 14), PCerr *ka- yielded PCJ *wa- in unstressed (non-final) syllables (Davis erroneously states that this condition for this sound change is the word-initial position, but in reality it fails to occur in monosyllabic words, like PCerr *kakā ‘to cough’ > PCJ *kaka). Some examples are provided in (14).

(14) PCerr *ka- > PCJ *wa:-
a. PCerr *kʰbroy ‘blood’ > PNJ *kʰbro, PCJ *wɑpɾuy // *wɑpɾu;
b. PCerr *ka= ‘star’ > PNJ *ka=, PCJ *waci;
c. PCerr *ka= ‘hot’ > PNJ *ka=, PCJ *wa=;κɔ;
d. PCerr *ka= ‘to explode, to pop, to shoot’ > PNJ *ka= ‘firearm, to explode, to pop’, PCJ *wa=;κɔ;
e. PCerr *ka= ‘lazy’ > PNJ *ka=, PCJ *waka;
f. PCerr *kar= ‘shadow, spirit’ > PNJ *kar, PCJ *war=, etc.
It is probable that PCerr *k was articulated as *[g] in unstressed syllables, at least before *a; this allophonic realization is attested synchronically in Apinayé and Tapayúna. Panará also seems to retain its traces (Nikulin 2016b: 171, 176). Carvalho (2016: 70) observed that “[t]hough one might claim that the outcomes of the Panará and Central Jê developments are only tenuously similar, the conditioning environment is identical for both and seems interestingly unusual”, suggesting tentatively that this might be an innovation shared by Panará and Central Jê. Given that other data support the inclusion of Panará into Northern Jê, ruling out the possibility of a node consisting of Central Jê and Panará, I am inclined to envision these facts as direct traces of a Proto-Cerrado allophony.

3.1.3. PCerr *tì > PCJ *hi, PCerr *yi > PCJ *ci

Certain developments of PCerr palatals before *i are evident in the cognate sets listed in (15) below.

(15) PCerr *tì > PCJ *hi, PCerr *yi > PCJ *ci
   a. PCerr *tì ‘bone’ > PNJ *ti, PCJ *hi;
   b. PCerr *yi/*yi- (3 person *tì/*tì-r) ‘to put, to lay’ > PNJ *dì/*dì-rì (3 person *tì/*tì-rì),
      PCJ *hi/*hi-ri;
   c. PCerr *nìyi ‘name’ > PNJ *nìdì, PCJ *nìci // *nìci;
   d. PCerr *pìyi ~ *pìyi ‘one’ > PNJ *pìdì, PCJ *mìci.

However, details of this development are still poorly understood. For instance, it is unclear why the third person form of the verb ‘to put, to lay’ (15b) was generalized in Central Jê.

3.1.4. PCerr *k(r)i, *g(r)i, *k3, *g3 > PCJ *ha

Davis (1966: 14) already noted that Proto-Jê *k and *kr are reflected as Xavânte h before a. However, it is now evident that the change *k(r)i > *h was fed by the occlusive merger: PCerr *k and *g behave identically in this respect. The data presented in (16) below exemplify the merger of *kri and *gri, *k3 and *g3.

(16) PCerr *kri, *gri, *k3, *g3 > PCJ *ha
   a. PCerr *kri ‘cold’ > PNJ *kri, PCJ *ha;
   b. PCerr *kukriti ‘tapiir’ > PNJ *kukriti, PCJ *kuta // *kuhazdə;
   c. PCerr *kriti ‘flint, firestone; metal’ > PNJ *kriti, PCJ *hata // *hazdə;
   d. PCerr *grikì ‘angry, upset’ > PNJ *grikì, PCJ *haka;
   e. PCerr *k3y ‘skin, bark, breast’ > PNJ *k3, PCJ *hay;
   f. PCerr *k3 / k3-r ‘to shout’ > PNJ *k3 / k3-r, PCJ *ha / *ha-ra;
   g. PCerr *g3 ‘men’s house’ > PNJ *g3 ‘men’s house, courtyard’, PCJ *ha ‘young men’s house’, etc.

The development of PCerr *ki and *gi in Central Jê is less clear due to the scarcity of relevant data. One possible cognate set that suggests that PCerr *ki > PCJ *ha involves the correspondence of Proto-Southern Jê *yãd=ki ‘mouth’ to Xavânte zay=ha ‘lip, mouth’ and probably Xerênte zay=ha ‘buttocks’ (< PCJ *zay=ha); no Northern Jê cognate is available. If these words are indeed cognate, they must go back to a Proto-Jê stem ending in *=ki.

Note that PCerr *k3 and *g3 must have developed normally, cf. PCerr *g3 ‘dry’ > PNJ *g3, PCJ *kre.
3.1.5. Interaction with medials

It appears that Proto-Cerrado had a system of rising diphthongs, just like Proto-Northern Jê. In some cases Proto-Cerrado medials triggered special reflexes of Proto-Cerrado onsets in Proto-Central Jê, including PCerr *kw > PCJ *w, PCerr *yw > PCJ *kw, PCerr *Cye > PCJ *ca~*ca. However, the examples are very limited. They are listed in (17) below.

(17) Interaction of PCerr onsets and medials in PCJ
a. PCerr *kwakɔ̃ 'coati' > PNJ *kwakɔ̃, PCJ *wakɔ̃;
b. PCerr *kvwɔ̃ 'tooth' > PNJ *dvwɔ̃, PCJ *kwa;
c. PCerr *kɔkwɔ̃ 'salt' > PNJ *kkawɔ̃, PCJ *kkwɔ̃;
d. PCerr *kɔkwɔ̃ 'sky' > PNJ *kVkwɔ̃, PCJ *hɔwɔ̃;
e. PCerr *yadɔ̃ 'mouth' > PNJ *yɔwɔ̃, PCJ *ʒa~*ca.

Davis (1966: 23) also identifies Xavánte wam//wa 'fat' (PCJ *wam//wa) as a cognate of PNJ *twɘbⁿid. Since the correspondences of PNJ *w in Central Jê are otherwise unknown, this comparison is plausible, but still uncertain.

3.2. Nucleus

The correspondences between Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê nuclei, as well as the proposed Proto-Cerrado reconstructions for these correspondences, are exposed below in Table 6.

Table 6. Proto-Cerrado vowels and their reflexes in Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCerr</th>
<th>PNJ</th>
<th>PCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>*a, *ã</td>
<td>*a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ɪ</td>
<td>*ɪ, *ĩ</td>
<td>*ɪ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ɔ</td>
<td>*ɔ</td>
<td>*ɔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>*u, *ũ</td>
<td>*u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ɛ</td>
<td>*ɛ</td>
<td>*ɛ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>*e</td>
<td>*e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† *û, *ũ, *ĩ occur before *m in coda. ‡ PCerr *kɔ, *gɔ > PCJ *θɔ.

20 Oliveira (2005: 61) cites a talk entitled ‘Vowel shift in Central Jê’ (Oliveira & Ribeiro 2005); unfortunately, I have had no access to its abstract.
As is evident from the table above, Proto-Northern Jê is very conservative in its vocalism. The nasalization of *a, *u and *i before syllable-final *m in Proto-Northern Jê is described in (Nikulin 2016b: 176–177). This is clearly a Proto-Northern Jê innovation: in Central Jê, oral vowels are found, as shown in (18) below.

(18)  Proto-Northern Jê innovative nasalization
a. PCerr *tub* ‘old, tall (?)’ > PNJ *tũmĩ, PCJ *dum // *du ‘tall’, *dum-krata // *dum-krəda ‘elder sibling of the opposite sex’;
b. PCerr *kub*”tib* ‘capybara’ > PNJ *kũmtĩmĩ, PCJ *kũnda.

Unlike Proto-Northern Jê, Proto-Central Jê innovated considerably. As a result of a vowel shift, discussed already by Ribeiro and Voort (2010: 554), PCerr *ə and *ɔ yielded PCJ *ɛ (19a–i), PCerr *ɛ was raised to PCJ *ɛ (19j–n), and PCJ *ɛ and *o merged with *i and *u, respectively (19o–y) (see subsection 3.1.1 for the effect of this merger on the preceding consonants).

(19)  Vowel shift in Proto-Central Jê
a. PCerr *bɔ/*”bɔ–q ‘to carry’, PCJ *kwä=pe ‘to carry.DU’;
b. PCerr *kuvɔ ‘smooth’ > PNJ *kube, PCJ *kure;
c. PCerr *kυu ‘bad smell, to stink’ > PNJ *kuɔ, PCJ *kuɔ;
d. PCerr *yɔ ‘bitter’ > PNJ *dɔ, PCJ *ʢɛ;
e. PCerr *tɔŋ ‘new’ > PNJ *tɔŋ/ti ‘raw’, PCJ *tem // *tɛ;
f. PCerr *gɔ ‘dry’ > PNJ *gɔ, PCJ *kre;
g. PCerr *=yɔ ~ PCJ *=yɔ (instrumental suffix) > PNJ *=dɔ, PCJ *=ʢɛ;
h. PCerr *a’gɔ ‘sedge seed’ > PNJ *a’gɔ, PCJ *ake;
i. PCerr *prɔ ‘ember’ > PNJ *prɔ, PCJ *pre;
j. PCerr *tẹp ‘fish’ > PNJ *tẹpɛ, PCJ *tepe // *tebe;
k. PCerr *’gɛ ‘egg’ > PNJ *’gɛ, PCJ *kre;
l. PCerr *tɛ ‘leg’ > PNJ *tɛ, PCJ *tey // *te;
m. PCerr *’kre ‘to plant’ > PNJ *kre, PCJ *kre;

o. PCerr *’kre ‘orifice, hole’ > PNJ *’kre, PCJ *’kre;

p. PCerr *’tɛ ‘tick’ > PNJ *tɛ, PCJ *ti;
q. PCerr *ya’tɛ ‘nest’ > PNJ *ya’kɛ, PCJ *’ʃɛi;
r. PCerr *ka’dɛ ‘star’ > PNJ *ka’dɛ, PCJ *wɛci;
s. PCerr *weke ‘partridge’ > PNJ *beke, PCJ *wiki;
t. PCerr *kupe ‘to touch, to move’ > PNJ *kupe, PCJ *kuπi;
u. PCerr *komɔ ‘cudgel; horn; patch of trees’ > PNJ *ko, PCJ *kɔmɔ // *ku ‘cudgel; horn’;
v. PCerr *’go ‘water’ > PNJ *’go( Disclaimer), PCJ *kuyp // *ku ‘still water’;
w. PCerr *yɔ ‘leaf, a hair’ > PNJ *tyo, PCJ *cuy // *cu;
x. PCerr *ka’bry ‘blood’ > PNJ *ka’bry, PCJ *wɛpru // *wɛpru;
y. PCerr *kopɔ ‘fly’ > PNJ *kopɔ, PCJ *kupu // *kubu, etc.

In addition to the shift, two other developments affected the vocalism of Proto-Central Jê: PCerr *i was lowered to PCJ *ə (PCJ *i occurs in words without any external etymology), and PCerr *ɔ and *ɔ merged in PCJ *ə. These changes are exemplified in (20) and (21), respectively.

---

21 Oliveira and Ribeiro (2005 apud Oliveira 2005: 61) state that *ɔ and *ə remained distinct in PCJ, yielding *ɛ and *e, respectively. I was able to identify only one word with PNJ *ə corresponding to PCJ *ɛ: PNJ *tɔŋ/ti ‘hard, strong’ – PCJ *tete // *tebe ‘hard, strong’. This should be attributed to an additional sound law: before a coronal stop in coda, PCJ *ɛ is found instead of *ɛ, also as a reflex of PCerr *ɔ (PCerr *wɔdɔ ‘tree’ > PNJ *wɔtɔ, PCJ *webe // *webe).
(20) Lowering of PCerr *i in Proto-Central Jê
a. PCerr *kub"tib" ‘capybara’ > PNJ *kũmtĩmĩ, PCJ *kumdo.
b. PCerr *piy ‘achiote’ > PNJ *pi, PCJ *b̥ɔ // *bə;
c. PCerr *kuṭĩmĩ ‘fire’ > PNJ *kũi, PCJ *kuṭĩmə // *kuša;
d. PCerr *am="ɗi ‘bee sp.’ > PNJ *am="ɗi, PCJ *am=ɔə;
e. PCerr *b̥itt ‘sun’ > PNJ *b̥itt, PCJ *b̥ɔta // *b̥ɔda;
f. PCerr *b̥inĩ ‘tail, penis’ > PNJ *b̥i, PCJ *mũnɔ // *bə, etc.

(21) Merger of PCerr *ɔ and *ɔ in Proto-Central Jê
a. PCerr *krɔy ‘head’ > PNJ *krɔ, PCJ *kˌrɔy // *k rɔ ‘head, fruit’;
b. PCerr *pɾ̥m ‘hungry’ > PNJ *pɾ̥mɔ ‘hungry, to want’, PCJ *m̥̄ɔm // *mɔr;
c. PCerr *mɔy ‘greater rhea’ > PNJ *m̥ɔ, PCJ *m̥ɔy // *mɔ;
d. PCerr *nɔ ‘mother’ > PNJ *nɔ, PCJ *nɔ;
e. PCerr *ni / *ni-Ptri ‘to sit.SG’ > PNJ *ni / *ni-r, PCJ *nɔ–mɔ (finite: 2SG *a=n=cɔ);
f. PCerr *kwɔ / *kwɔ-r ‘to chop’ > PNJ *kwɔ / *kwɔ-r, PCJ *kwɔ / *kwɔ-r, etc.

A context-specific development of PCerr *ɔ after velars has already been treated in subsection 3.1.4.

3.3. Coda

Proto-Central Jê codas, as well as Proto-Central Jê alternating rhymes of the classes A, B and D (see 2.3 above), have regular correspondences in Proto-Northern Jê. Proto-Central Jê classes C and E are of unknown origin. The most secure correspondences are listed in Table 7 below.

Table 7. Proto-Cerrado codas and their reflexes in Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCerr</th>
<th>PNJ</th>
<th>PCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*pV</td>
<td>*pV</td>
<td>*pV (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iV</td>
<td>*iV</td>
<td>*iV (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kV</td>
<td>*kV</td>
<td>*kV (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*rV</td>
<td>*rV</td>
<td>*rV (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r̥</td>
<td>*r̥</td>
<td>*r̥</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCerr</th>
<th>PNJ</th>
<th>PCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*mV</td>
<td>*Ø</td>
<td>*mV (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nV</td>
<td>*Ø</td>
<td>*nV (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yV</td>
<td>*Ø</td>
<td>*yV (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*y</td>
<td>*Ø</td>
<td>*y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*b̥  / *m</td>
<td>*b̥  / *mV</td>
<td>*m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that some codas that are reconstructible to Proto-Northern Jê do not occur in words with secure Cerrado, Jê or Macro-Jê etymologies. These include PNJ *-wV and *-y22. I suggest that such words entered Proto-Cerrado as loans from an unknown North Amazonian language: words like PNJ *kukoyĩ ‘monkey’ and PNJ *dìwì ‘new’23 are suspiciously similar to Hup kukĩy, Yanomama ku(u)ku-moxi ‘night monkey’ and Hup ’d’aw-ay ‘new’, respectively (Nikulin & Carvalho 2017: 38).

3.3.1. Voiceless codas in Proto-Cerrado

As is evident from the table above, Proto-Northern Jê voiceless stops in coda position correspond to PCJ voiceless stops in coda utterance-medially. PCJ *p and *i, on one side, and PCJ *k,
on the other, behave differently. PCJ stems in */pV* and */tV* belong to class D, as shown in (22) below, whereas PCJ stems in */kV* belong to class A, as exemplified in (23).

(22) PCerr stems in */pV*, */tV*
   a. PCerr */kopô* ‘fly’ > PNJ */kopô*, PCJ */kupu // *kubu;
   b. PCerr */tepê* ‘fish’ > PNJ */tepê*, PCJ */tepe // *tebe;
   c. PCerr */nîp=kopô* ‘nail, claw’ > PNJ */nîp=kopô*, PCJ */nî=kopô // */nî=kxbô;
   d. PCerr */bîti* ‘sun’ > PNJ */bîti*, PCJ */bota // *bxda;
   e. PCerr */butũ* ‘neck’ > PNJ */butũ*, PCJ */butu // *budu;
   f. PCerr */nî=kotô* ‘chest’ > PNJ */nî=kotô*, PCJ */nî=kutu // */nî=kudu;
   g. PCerr */tyetê* ‘to burn’ > PNJ */tyetê*, PCJ */sata // *sada;
   h. PCerr */kotô* ‘cicada’ > PNJ */(ko)kotô*, PCJ */kotô // *kxda;
   i. PCerr */kukri†i* ‘tapir’ > PNJ */kukri†i*, PCJ */kuta // *kuxda;
   j. PCerr */kriti†* ‘grasshopper’ > PNJ */kriti†*, PCJ */kriti† // *kriidi;
   k. PCerr */kratô* ‘base’ > PNJ */kratî* ‘base, stem, lower part of the body’, PCJ */kratâ // *kxada ‘base, beginning; near; grandparent’;
   l. PCerr */rifit* ‘to look’ > PNJ */rifî*, PCJ */rifî // *rînî, etc.

In one instance, the final consonant has apparently been irregularly nasalized in Proto-Northern Jê: PCerr */kêtê* ‘stone’ > PNJ */kênê*, PCJ */kêtê // *kênê.

(23) PCerr stems in */kV*
   a. PCerr */kôkô* ‘wind’ > PNJ */kôkô*, PCJ */x=q=wa=kuku // */x=q=wa=ku;
   b. PCerr */tikî* ‘belly’ > PNJ */tiki*, PCJ */diki // *di;
   c. PCerr */tstôkô* ‘to throb’ > PNJ */tstôkô*, PCJ */tstôkô // */tstô;
   d. PCerr */kakà* ‘to cough’ > PNJ */kaki*, PCJ */kaka;
   e. PCerr */grikî* ‘angry, upset’ > PNJ */grikî*, PCJ */haka;
   f. PCerr */katôkô* ‘to explode, to pop, to shoot’ > PNJ */katôkô* ‘firearm, to explode, to pop’, PCJ */wanôkô* ‘to explode, to attack’, etc.

Utterance-final allomorphs of Central Jê counterparts of the cognate sets (23d–f) have not been attested, but these stems are expected to belong to class A.

3.3.2. Rhotic codas in Proto-Cerrado

I reconstruct two types of Proto-Cerrado stems with a rhotic coda. Just like in Proto-Northern Jê (Nikulin 2016b: 182), rhotic coda followed by an echo vowel would have been characteristic of nouns. In Central Jê, it patterns with PCerr */-pV* and */-tV* (class D stems). On the other hand, non-finite forms of verbs would have featured a rhotic with a suppressed echo vowel. In this case, a final */-i* is inserted in Central Jê (*/-i* if the preceding syllable carries nasality). This is shown in (24) below.

(24) Distinction between PCerr */-rV* and */-r*
   a. PCerr */parâ* ‘foot’ > PNJ */parâ*, PCJ */para // *para;
   b. PCerr */puru* ‘field’ > PNJ */puru*, PCJ */buru // *buru;
   c. PCerr */terê* ‘fruit of a k. of palm’ > PNJ */terê*, PCJ */tirî // *tirî ‘bacuri coconut’;
   d. PCerr */nîrî* ‘cord, vine’ > PNJ */nîrî*, PCJ */wede=nîrî // */wede=nîrî;
   e. PCerr */wî/*wîr- ‘to kill’ > PNJ */bî/*bîr-, PCJ */wî/*wîr-;
   f. PCerr */pa/*pa-r* ‘to finish’ > PNJ */pa/*pa-r*, PCJ */pa/*pa-r* ‘to finish, to erase’;
   g. PCerr */tu/*tu-r* ‘to carry’ > PNJ */tu/*tu-r*, PCJ */dû/*dû-r;
   h. PCerr */da/*da-r* ‘to bite’ > PNJ */da/*da-r, PCJ */ca/*ca-r, etc.
However, the allomorph *-ɾi cannot be attached to Central Jê reflexes of PCerr roots ending in certain vowels, like *ɜ and *ɛ. Allomorphs with echo vowels may be found in such cases, cf. PCJ *hα/*hα-rα ‘to shout’ (< PCerr *k3/*k3-ɾ).

3.3.3. Nasal codas in Proto-Cerrado

Central Jê stems that belong to class B correspond to vowel-final stems in Proto-Northern Jê. I reconstruct nasal codas followed by an echo vowel. The correspondence is exemplified in (25) below.

(25)  Proto-Cerrado etyma yielding Central Jê class B stems
   a. PCerr *pĩmĩ ‘firewood’ > PNJ *pũ ‘wood’, PCJ *mũmũ // *mũ;
   b. PCerr *komõ ‘cudgel; horn; patch of trees’ > PNJ *kũ, PCJ *kũmũ // *kũ ‘cudgel; horn’;
   c. PCerr *kuʃimĩ ‘fire’ > PNJ *kuũ, PCJ *kuŋmũ // *kuũ;
   d. PCerr *tũmũ ‘seed’ > PNJ *ti, PCJ *ŋũmũ // *ŋũ;
   e. PCerr *dɔmũ ‘eye’ > PNJ *dɔ(p-), PCJ *tũmũ // *tũ;
   f. PCerr *bũnũ ‘tail, penis’ > PNJ *b̥i, PCJ *mũnũ // *b̥o;
   g. PCerr *tũmũ ‘belly’ > PNJ *tu, PCJ *nũmũ // *du, etc.

The Proto-Northern Jê consonant-final allomorph *-ŋ - (25e) is preserved only in Apinayé, where it occurs before any elements in postposition (Ham 1961: 27; Oliveira 2005: 375). The final consonant -ŋ must be understood as a relic of the Proto-Cerrado coda.

For Central Jê class A stems ending in *-kV that correspond to zero in PNJ, I suggest re-constructing PCerr *-ŋV. The denasalization of *ŋ in PCJ is expected: no such phoneme can be reconstructed for PCJ, even in the onset position. The clearest examples are provided in (26).

(26)  Proto-Cerrado *-ŋV
   a. PCerr *pŋũ ‘arrow, bamboo’ > PNJ *pό-tẽ ‘bamboo’, PCJ *pũkũ // *pũ ‘big arrow’;
   b. PCerr *bõŋũ ‘lake’ > PNJ *b̥i=ũ=bo, PCJ *puku // *pu.

At first sight, the reconstruction of a velar segment for this correspondence conflicts with external data: probable Maxakalí cognates of these words are pohox /puC/ ‘arrow’ and puxhep /piC-hep/ ‘lake’, with palatal codas. However, PCerr *ŋ may correspond to Maxakalí palatals even in the onset position (cf. PCerr *kãŋ ‘snake’ and Maxakalí kãyã /kãŋã/ ‘snake’), which renders the reconstructive hypothesis in question plausible.

3.3.4. Palatal coda in Proto-Cerrado

Proto-Central Jê stems whose underlying representations end in */-y/ correspond to vowel-final stems in Proto-Northern Jê. I reconstruct PCerr */-y for this correspondence. Some examples are provided in (27).

(27)  Proto-Cerrado stems in */-y
   a. PCerr *tũy ‘brother’ > PNJ *tũ, PCJ *nũ // *nũ ‘younger sibling of the same sex’;
   b. PCerr *mũy ‘greater rhea’ > PNJ *mũ, PCJ *mũy // *mũ;
   c. PCerr *goy ‘water’ > PNJ *gɔ(y-), PCJ *kũy // *ku ‘still water’;
   d. PCerr *krɔy ‘head’ > PNJ *kɾɔ, PCJ *krɔy // *krɔ ‘head, fruit’;
   e. PCerr *piy ‘achioté’ > PNJ *pi, PCJ *bɔy // *bɔ;
   f. PCerr *kɑ̃yro ‘blood’ > PNJ *kɑ̃bro, PCJ *wɑ̃rũy // *wɑ̃rũ;
   g. PCerr *tɔy ‘leaf, a hair’ > PNJ *tɔ, PCJ *cuy // *cu;
In a number of stems, PNJ *kəy ‘skin, bark, breast’ > PNJ *kɔiy, PCJ *hay;

i. PCJ *kyey ‘thigh’ > PNJ *kye, PCJ *say // *sa;

j. PCJ *təy ‘leg’ > PNJ *tɛ, PCJ *təy // *tɛ;

k. PCJ *kəry ‘rotten’ > PNJ *kɔy, PCJ *kəry // *kɔy;

l. PCJ *məy ‘to throw.SG’ > PNJ *mɛ/*mɛŋ, PCJ *məy // *mɛ, etc.

In (27c), one Northern Jê language (Apinayé) retains two allomorphs: "go and "goyê- (before any elements in postposition, see Ham 1961: 27); I reconstruct PNJ */go(·-). The correspondence under examination also seems to be valid word-medially: PCJ *ɲəytɔ ‘tongue’ > PNJ *ɲɔtɔ, PCJ *ɲəytɔ.

3.3.5. Labial coda in Proto-Cerrado not followed by an echo vowel

Stem-final */-m/ in Proto-Central Jê corresponds to */hV//mV/ in Proto-Northern Jê (per nasality); I reconstruct PCJ */hV//mV/ for this correspondence. It is instantiated in the stems listed in (28).

(28) Proto-Cerrado stems in */hV//mV/*
   a. PCJ *prəm ‘hungry’ > PNJ *prəm, PCJ *məm // *məv;
   b. PCJ *tə ‘to go.SG’ > PNJ *tɛ // *tɛ-m, PCJ *nɛ // *nɛ ‘to go.DU’;
   c. PCJ *tu ‘old, tall (?)’ > PNJ *tu, PCJ *dub // *dub-kara // *dub-kara ‘elder sibling’;
   d. PCJ *yob ‘to grind’ > PNJ *yob ‘flour, powder’, PCJ *zum // *zu;
   e. PCJ *tə ‘new’ > PNJ *tə ‘raw’, PCJ *tem // *te, etc.

The utterance-internal allomorph of PCJ *kumda (< PCJ *kub ‘capybara’, cf. PNJ *kumda) is unattested, but the predicted form is *kumdm.

3.3.6. Complex codas in Proto-Cerrado

In a number of stems, PNJ */-rV/ or */-rV/ correspond to PCJ */-V//V/*-V//V. I tentatively reconstruct PCJ */-V//V/ for these cases. Some examples are provided in (29) below.

(29) PCJ */-V//V/ and its reflexes
   a. PCJ *yə/*yə/*-Pə ‘to enter.SG’ > PNJ *də/*də/*-r, PCJ *ɛ/*-ɛ-ɛc;
   b. PCJ *ni/*ni/*-Pr  ‘to sit.SG’ > PNJ *nī / *nī-r, PCJ *nə-ɛ / *nə-ɛ-ɛ ‘(finite: 2SG *ay=cɔ);
   c. PCJ *nə/*nə/*-Pr  ‘to lie.SG’ > PNJ *nə/*nə-r, PCJ *nə/*nə-r;
   d. PCJ *yuPr ‘pug’ > PNJ *də ~ *dər, PCJ *ɔbra;
   e. PCJ *kə=ɔ/*kə=ɔ-r ‘to leave, to go out’ > PNJ *kə=ɔ/*kə=ɔ-r ‘to leave, to go out, to be born’, PCJ *wa=ɔ/*wa=ɔ-r, etc.

It is possible that PCJ *tə ‘to get down (Xavânte), to cross a body of water (Xerênte)’ and PCJ *cebr ‘to roast (Xavante), to become soft, cooked (Xerênte)’ are related to PNJ */-V//V/ ‘to fly, to dance’ and *gal/*də ’to roast’, but this is far from certain. I have no explanation for Central Jê non-finite forms in */-rV/, like PCJ *cə/*cə-r ‘to find’, *cə/*cə-ɛ ‘to give’, *cə/*cə-ɛ ‘to hang’, *cɪ/*cɪ ‘to seize, to shoot’, *nə/*nə ‘to lay.DU’, *nə/*nə-ɛ ‘to weave’. These verbs must be accounted for by future research.

Yet another possible instance of a complex coda in Proto-Cerrado is suggested by a handful of verbs that have two different forms in Proto-Central Jê: a form in */kV/ and a form in */-rV/ (cf. PCJ *tə=pə-kə/*tə=pə-rə ‘to open one’s eyes, to wake up’, *pɭ-k wə/*pɭ-ru ‘to pierce’, *dɔko// *də/*də ‘to die’, *waptə-kə/waptə-rə ‘to fall, to be born.SC/DU’, etc.). The syntactic distri-
bution of these forms is not fully explored. I abstain from reconstructing Proto-Cerrado forms in \(-kr\ddot{V}\) for the verbs that feature the phenomenon in question, but such a solution remains a possibility.

3.3.7. Other possible codas in Proto-Cerrado

PCerr \(d\) also seems to have occurred in coda position, but only two examples have been identified so far; these are listed in (30).

(30) Evidence for reconstructing PCerr \(*dV\)
   a. PCerr \(*wɔdi\ ‘tree’ > PNJ \(*bɔɾ\ddot{i}\, PCJ \(*wede // \ast wede; 
   b. PCerr \(*yad-kwa ‘mouth’ > PNJ \(*yar-kwa, PCJ \(*sada-wa.

I was unable to identify regular PCJ correspondences of the PNJ codas \(*-\ddot{i}, *-d(\ddot{i}), *-n\ddot{V}\) due to the scarcity of available examples. I provisionally project these PNJ codas to Proto-Cerrado, but a better solution is likely to be possible. Some examples are provided in (31).

(31) Tentatively reconstructed Proto-Cerrado codas
   a. PCerr \(*bɛ\ddot{ɛ}\ ‘good’ > PNJ \(*bɛ\ddot{ɛ}\, PCJ \(*pece // \ast pe;
   b. PCerr \(*k=e\ddot{ɛ}-d\ddot{l}\ ‘to drag, to pull’, PCJ \(*ca/*ca-ri;
   c. PCerr \(*g\ddot{ɛ}-g\ddot{ɛ}-d\ddot{l}\ ‘to enter.PL’ > PNJ \(*gi\ddot{ɛ}-g\ddot{ɛ}-d\ddot{l}, PCJ \(*3a/*3a-ci ‘to enter.DU’;
   d. PCerr \(*j\ddot{ɛ}-j\ddot{ɛ}-l\ddot{ɛ} ‘guts, faeces’ > PNJ \(*j\ddot{ɛ}-j\ddot{ɛ}, PCJ \(*j\ddot{ɛ}-j\ddot{ɛ} ‘faeces’;
   e. PCerr \(*w\ddot{ɛ}-l\ ‘sweet’ > PNJ \(*w\ddot{ɛ}-l, PCJ \(*w\ddot{ɛ}-l ‘sweet’;
   f. PCerr \(*y\ddot{ɛ}-l\ ‘to run’ ~ PCJ \(*ay=ca=mr\ddot{o}y // \ast ay=ca=mr\ddot{o} ‘to run.DU’, if only this comparison is valid.

3.3.8. Notes on echo vowels

The phenomenon of echo vowels, reconstructed by me for Proto-Northern Jê (Nikulin 2016b: 169, 182), undoubtedly existed in Proto-Cerrado. However, certain particularities of its realization reconstructible for Proto-Northern Jê might have not existed in Proto-Cerrado. For instance, the height dissimilation after the nucleus \(*a\) seems to be a PNJ innovation, since in PCJ a copy of the nucleus appears in the echo vowel position (cf. PCerr \(*p\ddot{a}\ddot{ɛ} ‘foot’ > PNJ \(*p\ddot{a}ɾ, PCJ \(*p\ddot{a}ɾa // \ast p\ddot{a}ɾa, PCerr \(*k\ddot{a}ɾa ‘base’ > PNJ \(*k\ddot{a}ɾi ‘base, stem, lower part of the body’, PCJ \(*k\ddot{a}ɾa // \ast k\ddot{a}ɾa ‘base, beginning; near; grandparent’; PCerr \(*k\ddot{a}ɾa ‘to cough’ > PNJ \(*k\dd\ddot{a}, PCJ \(*k\dd\ddot{a} ‘to cough’.
The presence of the echo-vowel \(*-\ddot{i}\) after palatal and post-nasalized codas in Proto-Northern Jê also appears to be innovative. That way, in my reconstruction Proto-Cerrado echo vowels were always identical to the nucleus.

Note that the suppression of the echo vowels in the non-finite forms of the verbs can be securely reconstructed to Proto-Cerrado. In Proto-Central Jê, PCerr \(*-r\) yielded \(*-\ddot{r}i/*-\ddot{r}\ddot{i}\ (according to the nasality of the nucleus). In Proto-Northern Jê, the contrast between PCerr \(*-r\ddot{V}\) and \(*-r\) seems to have been retained, though it has been deteriorated in most modern Northern Jê languages (see Nikulin 2016b: 182 for traces of this contrast).

3.3.9. Unexpected nasalization in Proto-Central Jê

A number of Central Jê stems exhibit an unexpected nasalization of the stem vowel. They are listed in (32) below.
(32) Unexpected nasalization in Proto-Central Jê

a. PCJ *ɾə ‘to leave’ > PNJ *ɾə, PCJ *ɾə/*ɾə-mə ‘to leave.SC’;
b. PCJ *ˈdəj ˈrain’ > PNJ **ˈdə, PCJ *ˈtəy // *tə;
c. PCJ *ˈtu/*ˈtu-ɾ ‘to urinate’ > PNJ *ˈtu/*ˈtu-ɾ, PCJ *ˈtU/*ˈtU-ɾ;
d. PCJ *ˈpa/*ˈpa-ɾ ‘to kill’ > PNJ *ˈpa/*ˈpa-ɾ, PCJ *ˈpə/*ˈpə-ɾ ‘to kill.DU’;
e. PCJ *ˈgre/*ˈgre-ɾ ‘to sing, to dance’ > PNJ **ˈgre/*ˈgre-ɾ, PCJ *ˈay=*ɾə / *ˈay=ɾə-nə ‘to dance.SC’.

Note that this nasalization cannot have preceded the occlusive merger (see subsection 3.1.1); otherwise, nasal occlusives would have been expected in 32b–d. Moreover, it cannot be easily explained away as a sporadic process postdating the occlusive merger: in this case PCJ **nə/*nə-ɾ ‘to urinate’ (< **ˈdu/**ˈdu-ɾ) would be the expected form. Presently I have no explanation for this correspondence.

4. Cerrado etymologies

This section contains the cognate sets upon which the observations made in this paper are based.

PCJ *aˈgə ‘sedge seed’ > PNJ *aˈgə (API aˈgə, KAY anə, TIM akə, PNR nə/kə), PCJ *ˈake (XAV ʔaʔe, XER ake);

PCJ *ˈba ‘liver’ > PNJ *ˈba (API ʔba, KAY ma, SUY ʔba, TIM ma=mpa, PNR ʔpə), PCJ *pa (XAV, XER pa);

PCJ *ˈba/*ˈba-ɾ ‘to hear, to listen’ > PNJ *ˈba/*ˈba-ɾ ‘to hear, to know, to listen’ (API ʔba/*ˈba-ɾ, KAY ma/ma-ɾ, TIM pa/*pa-ɾ=mpa=/mpa-ɾ, SUY ʔba*ʔba-y, TAP ʔba*ʔba-y, PNR iʔpa-ɾ), PCJ *wa=pa/*wa-pa-ɾ ‘to hear, to listen, to obey’ (XAV wa=pa/qa-pa-ɾ, XER wa=pa/qa-pa-ɾ ~ wa=qa-ɾ);

PCJ *ˈba ‘afraid’ > PNJ *ˈba (API ʔba, KAY ma=ma, SUY =ʔba, TAP ʔba, TIM pa, PNR ʔi=paŋ), PCJ *ˈpa-hi (XAV ʔpa-hi, XER pa-hi), PCJ *ˈpi=pa (XAV ʔpi=pa, XER ʔpi=pa);

PCJ *ˈbeẓè ‘good’ > PNJ *ˈbeẓi (API ʔbeč, KAY meč, SUY ʔberi, TAP ʔbev, TIM pev, PNR ʔpe), PCJ *ˈpee // *ˈpee (XAV ʔpee // ʔpee ‘well; to recover, to get better’, XER ʔpee // ʔpee ‘good, beautiful’);

PCJ *ˈbə/*ˈbə-ɾ ‘to carry’ > PNJ *ˈbə/*ˈbə-ɾ ‘to carry’ (API ʔbə/*ˈbə-ɾ, ~ ʔbə-ɾ, KAY mə/*bə-ɾ ‘to grab’, TIM pə/pə-ɾ), SUY ʔbə/*bə-ɾ ‘to grab’, (? PNR ʔpə-ɾ), PCJ *ˈwə-pə ‘to carry.DU’ (XAV ʔwə-pə, XER ʔwə-pə);

PCJ *ˈbətə ‘sun’ > PNJ *ˈbətə ‘sun’ (API ʔbit, KAY miʔ, SUY ʔbitiʔ, TIM pit, PNR ʔpit), *ˈbit-ɾə “moon” (API ʔbit-ɾə, KAY miʔ-ɾə, SUY ʔbit-tə, TIM pit-tə), PCJ *ˈbətə // *ˈbətə ‘sun, day’ (XAV ʔbətə // ʔbətə ‘sun, day, hour’, XER ʔbətə ‘sun, day, god’);


PCJ *ˈboŋə ‘lake’ > PNJ ʔt=ʔi=ʔbo (API ʔi=ʔbo, KAY i=ʔbo, TIM h=ʔpo, h=t=ʔpo), PCJ *ˈpuku // *ˈpu (XAV ʔpuʔu // ʔpu ‘lake with a spring’, XER pu);
PCerr *="buy 'to see' > PNJ *="pu/*="pu-d" (API ="bu="/="bu-ya", KAY mií/míí-yí, SUY ="bu, TAP mií, TIM ="pu/="pu-d", PNR puñ ~ púí/="puñ ~ ="púí), PCJ *sa=bu // *sa=bu (XAV sa=buy // *sa=bu, XER sa=bu), *pi=buy // *pi=bu (XAV pi=buy // pi=bu 'to know how to, to control, to care, to observe, to tame'), XER pi=bu 'to observe, to visit');

PCerr *iday 'rain' > PNJ *ida (API "da, KAY na, SUY, TAP "da, TIM ta, PNR i"ta), PCJ *iðy // *ið (XAV, XER tií // /i/);

PCerr *idm3 'eye' > PNJ *ido(p-) (API "id(p)-, KAY nɔ, SUY, TAP "dɔ, TIM tɔ=:ntɔ, PNR tʔtɔ), PCJ *tʰim3/*tɔ (XAV tʰim3/tɔ, XER tm̩ɔ/tɔ);

PCerr *dana/*dɔ-ra 'to bite' > PNJ *dana/*dɔ- (API ʔa/ʔa-, KAY ɲa/ɲa-ŋu, SUY, TAP "ta, TIM ca/ca-=nca=, PNR i"a/i"a=-r), PCJ *ca/*ca-r (XAV ca/ca-r, XER ɲa/ɲa ~ ɾa-);

PCerr *i=tì 'bee', *am=*
tì 'bee sp.' > PNJ (API ʔi, TAP *=ti ~ tì), PCJ *am=za (XAV ab=za 'bee sp. (Tetragona clavipes), XER am=za 'Brazilian wasp (Protonectarina sylveirae)');

PCerr *g3 'men's house' > PNJ *g3 'men's house, courtyard' (API *g3(p)-, KAY nj, SUY, TAP *g3, TIM kɔ), PCJ *ha 'young men's house' (XAV hɔ);

PCerr *go 'house' > PNJ *go (API "go, TAP go, TIM ko=ŋko, PNR kɨ~kɔ), PCJ *ku (XAV ʔu);

PCerr *guy 'water' > PNJ *guy(t-) (API "guy(γ̄), KAY nɔ, SUY, TAP "go, TIM ko, PNR i"ko), PCJ *kuy // ka 'still water' (XAV ʔuy // ʔu, XER kuy-);

PCerr *guy 'wet, to soak' > PNJ *guy (API "gɔ, KAY nɔ, SUY "gɔ, TAP "gɔ ~ "go, TIM kɔ, PNR kw), PCJ *kuy // kɔ (XER kɔy // kɔ);

PCerr *gre 'egg, testicle' > PNJ *gre (API 'gre, KAY nɛ, SUY 'gɛ, TAP 'gɛ, TIM kɾe=/ŋkɛ, PNR i'kɾe), PCJ *kɾe 'egg' (XAV ʔɛ, XER kɾe);

PCerr *gre/*gre-r 'to sing, to dance' > PNJ *gre/*gre-r (API 'gre/*gre-r, KAY nɛ/ŋɛ~ŋɛ-r 'to sing', SUY 'gɛ-ɛ, TAP 'gɛ/\"gɛ-r, TIM kɾe), PCJ *=ɾɛ=kɾɛ/=ɾɛ=kɾɛ-nɛ 'to dance,SG' (XAV ʔɛ=ɾɛ/ʔɛ=ɾɛ-nɛ);

PCerr *gør 'dry' > PNJ *gɔr (API "gɔr, KAY nɔ, SUY "gɔr, TAP "gɔr, TIM kɔr=ŋkɔr), PCJ *kɾe (XAV ʔɛ, XER kɾe);

PCerr *grikì 'angry, upset' > PNJ *grikì 'angry' (API 'grikì, KAY nɪkì, SUY 'gɨkì, TIM krik=/ŋkɪkì, PNR i'kɪyì), PCJ *haka (XAV haka);

PCerr *gɔr 'to heat', *ka="gɔr 'hot, fever' > PNJ *gɔr (API 'gɔr 'to bake', KAY am=ŋɔ 'heat', SUY 'gɔr, TIM kɔ), *ka="gɔr 'hot, fever' (API ka="gɔr, KAY ka=ŋɔ, SUY k(}"u="gɔr 'warm; to burn', TAP ka="gɔ, TIM ka=ŋkɔ, PNR nɔ=ŋkɔ), PCJ *kɔr (XAV =ʔɔ ~ ʔɔ 'the end of the dry season', XER ha=ŋkɔ ~ he=ŋkɔ 'to warm up by the fire'), *wa=ŋɔ 'hot, fever' (XAV wa=ŋɔ, XER wa=ŋkɔ);

PCerr *gye/'*gye-d' 'to enter.PL' > PNJ *gyi\u00e0/*gye-d' 'to enter.PL, to put into a deep container.PL' (API "gye/\"gye-ya, ya="gye, KAY ni\u00e6/\u00e6y-ya, ya=ŋye-y, SUY ŋye/ŋye-ɛ, TIM a=ŋye-y), PCJ *ja/*ja-ci 'to enter.DU' (non-alternating 3) (XAV ja/ʃa-ci, XER ʃa-ʂ ~ ʒa-ʂ);

PCerr *ka 'white' > PNJ *ya=ka (API, KAY, SUY, TAP ya=ka, TIM ya=ka), PCJ *ka (XAV ʔa, KAY ka);

PCerr *ka'bro 'blood' > PNJ *ka'bro 'blood, menstruation' (API ka'bro, KAY kamro ~ kamro, SUY ka'bo, TAP kanro, TIM kapro, PNR nɔ=pyu), PCJ *wangui // *wangui (XAV wangui // wangui, XER waŋui);

PCerr *ka'de 'star' > PNJ *ka'de (API ka'je, KAY kape-\u00e5-re, SUY ka'le-\u00e5-re, TIM ka'le-\u00e5-re, PNR nɔsi-\u00e5~nɔsi-\u00e5-re), PCJ *wåici (XAV wåici, XER wåici);

PCerr *ka'ga 'lazy' > PNJ *ka'ga, *du=ka'ga 'lazy, to give up, to refuse' (API ka'ga, KAY ka'ga, žu=ka'ga, SUY ka'ga, TAP tu=\u00e5-ga, TIM kaka 'to leave alone', PNR sw=\u00e5-a'ga), PCJ *wåka (XAV waʔa, XER waʔa);

PCerr *kakà 'to cough' > PNJ *kakì (API kakà, TIM k\u00e9), PCJ *kaka (XAV ʔaʔa, XER kka);

PCerr *karo 'shadow, spirit' > PNJ *karo 'shadow, spirit, image' (API karɔ, KAY, TAP karɔ 'image', TIM karɔ), PCJ *warɔ (XAV høy=ba=warɔ 'dead body');
A phonological reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado (Jê family)

PCerr *kri ‘cold’ > PNJ *kri (API, KAY kri, SUY kʰi, TAP kʰi, TIM kʰi, PNR kyi), PCJ *ha (XAV, XER ha);
PCerr *kiri ‘flint, firestone; metal’ > PNJ *kiri (API kiri ‘flint; to attack’, SUY kiri ‘metal’, kiri-ci ‘ax’, kiri-twa ‘fishhook’, kiri-ho ‘big knife’), PCJ *hata // *hasha (XAV hada-ra ‘ax’, XER lda);
PCerr *kro ‘rotten’ > PNJ *kro (API, KAY kro, TIM kʰɾo), PCJ *kro // *kro (XAV ?ro // ?ro, XER kro // kro);
PCerr *kub*ti* ‘capybara’ > PNJ *kümi (KAY kuni, SUY kutumũ, TAP koʃiũ ~ koʃũ, TIM kũmitũ, PNR inti), PCJ *kumda (XAV ?ubda, XER kumda);
PCerr *kukiri ‘taper’ > PNJ *kukiri (API kuði,kukiri, SUY kũ(k)uk(ũ)air̃, TAP kũkũir̃, TIM kũkũirt, PNR (ik)iyiti), PCJ *kuta // *kuhada (XAV ?uta // ?uhada, XER kta // kda);
PCerr *kupe ‘to touch, to move’ > PNJ *kupe (API kupe/kupe-yd, TIM kupe/kupe-d ‘to touch lightly; to reproach’), PCJ *kupi (XAV ?upi, XER kupi ‘to touch’);
PCerr *kupu ‘to wrap’ > PNJ *kupu (API, KAY, TIM kupu), PCJ *kubu ‘to cover’ (XAV ?ubu);
PCerr *kur ‘smooth’ > PNJ *kuru (TIM kuru), PCJ *kurc (XAV ?ure, XER kure);
PCerr *kutimi ‘fire’ > PNJ *kuki (API kwi, KAY kwai, SUY kwsi, TAP kwi, TIM kuhi, PNR isi), PCJ *kumi // *kusa (XAV ?umíma // ?usa, XER kumma // kusa);
PCerr *kuyo ‘bad smell, to stink’ > PNJ *kwo (API kwa, SUY, TAP kuts, TIM kwa), PCJ *kuyê (XAV ?uyê, XER kuçe);
PCerr *kV̩wa ‘salt’ > PNJ *kaɺwa (API kačwa, SUY kʰatwa, TAP kʰwa, TIM kacwa), PCJ *kVkwâ- ((?)) XAV ?ita-wa-wa, XER kakwa-r̃;
PCerr *kwiʌ/√kwiʌ ‘to hit, to strike’ > PNJ *kwiʌ/*kw̃iʌ ~ *kwiʌ-r (API kwür̃, at=kwür̃ ~ at=kwã/pi=kwiʌ-y ‘to break long objects’, SUY ku=kw̃i, TIM kw̃i/kwiʌ-n, PNR kwiʌ), PCJ *kwʌ/*kw̃iʌ-r ‘to chop’ (XER kω̃/kw̃iʌ-r ~ kw̃iʌ-r);
PCerr *kye/*kye-d ‘to drag, to pull’ > PNJ *kye/*kye-d (API kxe/kxe-d, KAY kxe/kxe-d, TIM kxe/kxe-d, (?)) PNR kxe-r̃), PCJ *ca/√ca-r (XAV ca/√ca-r);
PCerr *kuyê ‘thigh’ > PNJ *kye (API kxe, KAY kye, TAP ce, TIM kъye), PCJ *zay // *za (non-alternating *3) (XAV zay // za, XER zda);
PCerr *mêy ‘to throw.SG’ > PNJ *mê/*mê-n (API mê/mê-y, KAY mê/mê-y, SUY mê/mê-n, TAP mê/mê-y ‘to give, to throw’, TIM mê/mê-n), PCJ *mêy // *mê (XAV mēy // mē, XER mē);
PCerr *mûy ‘greater rhea’ > PNJ *mî (API mî-ti, TAP mê-ci, TIM mî), PCJ *mûy // *mî (XAV mûy // mî, XER mî);
PCerr *mî/*mî-r ‘to go/come’ > PNJ *mî/*mî-r (API mî/mî-r ‘to go/come.PL’, KAY mô/mô-r ‘to go/come.PL’, SUY mî/mî-i, TAP mî/mî-r ‘to go/come.PL’, TIM mî/mî-r, PNR mî/mî/mî-r), PCJ *mî/*mî-r ‘to go/come.SG’ (XAV mî/mî-r, XER mô-r);
PCerr *mîn ‘mother’ > PNJ *nê (API nê, KAY nû, SUY nê, PNR nê-pê), PCJ *nê (XAV nê);
PCerr *nê/*nê-prê ‘to lie.SG’ > PNJ *nê/*nê-r (API nê/nê-r, KAY nô/nô-r, SUY nê/nê-i, TIM nê/nê-n, PNR nê), PCJ *nê/*nê-r (XAV nê/nê-r, XER nô-n ~ nô-n ~ nô-m);  
PCerr *nê/*nê-r ‘to give’ > PNJ *nê/*nê-r (API nê/nê-r ~ nê-t, KAY nê/nê-r, SUY nê/nê-t, TIM nê/nê-r, PNR nê/nê-r, XAV nê/nê-r ‘to give’, send’, XER nê/nê-m ~ nê-m);
PCerr *nê/*nê-r ‘to sleep’ > PNJ *nê/*nê-r (API nê/nê-r, KAY nê/nê-r, SUY nê/nê-r, TIM nê/nê-r, PNR nê/nê-r, XAV nê/nê-r (finite: 2SG *ay=e), XER nê/nê ~ nê-h);
PCerr *nêp’=kâp’ ‘nail, claw’ > PNJ *nêp=kâp (API nêʔ=kâp, KAY nê=kâp, TAP kâp, XER kâp, nê=kâp, kâp’=kâp’ ‘claw’, TIM ŋ̃=kâp); PCJ *nêp=kâp // *nêp=kâp (XAV nêp=p, XER nê=kâp);
PCerr *nêp’=kâp ‘hand’ > PNJ *nêp=kâ (API nêʔ=kâ, KAY nê=kâ, SUY nê=kâ, TAP nê=kâ, TIM ŋ̃=kâ, PNR nê=kâ), PCJ *nêp=kâ (XAV nêp=kâ // nêp=kâ (contamination with PCJ *krata // *krata ‘stem, beginning’), XER nêp=kâ);
PCerr *niçi ‘name’ > PNJ *niçi (API niçi, KAY niçi, SUY n=iʔi, TIM tîci, PNR i(’i)sî), PCJ *niçi // *niçi (XAV niçi // *niçi, XER niși-zê);
PCerr *ɲi/*ɲi-Prə ‘to sit;SC’ > PNJ *ɲi/*ɲi- (API ɲi/ɲi-,* KAY ɲi/ɲi-,* SUY ɲi/ɲi-,* TAP ɲi, TIM ɲi/*ɲi, PNR ɲi), PCJ *ɲ-ɔ (finite: 2SG *a-ɔ=cū) (XAV ɲ-ɔ (finite: 2SG a=cū), XER ɲ-ɔ=mrɔ ~ n mrɔ); PCerr *ɲin ‘guts, faeces’ > PNJ *ɲin (API ɲin, KAY ɲin, SUY ɲin, TAP ɲi, TIM ɲi, PNR ɲi), PCJ *ɲin (XAV ɲĩnt, XER ɲn); PCerr *ɲi=ko’t ‘chest’ > PNJ *ɲi=ko’t (API ɲi=ko’t, KAY ɲi=ko’d, TIM ɲi=ko’t), PCJ *ɲi=ku (finite: 2SG *a=ku=cū, XAV ɲ=ku=cū, XER ɲ=ku=cū); PCerr *ɲi= ‘cord, vine’ > PNJ *ɲi= (API ɲi= ‘wine, fiber’, ɲa= ‘rope, vine’, TIM ɲr); PCJ *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘vein, sinew’ (XAV ɲi= // ɲi=, XER wa=mrɔ), *wede=ɲi= // *wede=ɲi= // *wede=ɲi= ‘cord, rope, vine’ (XAV wede=ɲi= // wede=ɲi=, XER wede=mrɔ); PCerr *ɲi=tu // ‘to cut’, TAP *ɲi= (XAV *ɲi=tu // ɲi=tu, XER ɲ=n); PCerr *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘arm, branch’ > PNJ *ɲi=(XAV ɲi=, XER ɲi=); PCerr *ɲi= // *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘to sit.SG’ > PNJ *ɲi= // *ɲi= (API ɲi=, KAY ɲi=, TIM ɲi=, PNR ɲi); PCerr *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘to finish’ > PNJ *ɲi= (API ɲi=, KAY ɲi=, TIM ɲi=, PNR ɲi), PCJ *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘to finish, to erase’ (XAV ɲi= // ɲi=, XER ɲi= // ɲi=); PCerr *ɲi= // ‘to kill.PL’ > PNJ *ɲi= (KAY ɲi=, SUY ɲi=, TIM ɲi=), PCJ *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘to kill.DU’ (XAV ɲi= // ɲi=, XER ɲi= // ɲi=); PCerr *ɲi= ‘foot’ > PNJ *ɲi= (API ɲi= ‘foot; jirau, wall’, KAY ɲi=, SUY ɲi= ‘foot; jirau’, TIM ɲi=, PNR ɲi=); PCJ *ɲi= // *ɲi= ‘foot, footprint’; PCerr *pe/*pe- // *pe- ‘to fast’ > PNJ *pe/*pe- (API pe/pe-,* SUY ɲw, TIM pe-*), PCJ *pi/*pi- (XAV pi/pi-); PCerr *ɲin ‘firewood’ > PNJ *ɲi ‘wood’ (API, KAY ɲi, SUY ɲw ‘tree’, TAP ɲi= ‘tree’, TIM ɲi); PCJ *mi // *mi (XAV mĩ // mĩ, XER mĩ); PCerr *piy ‘achiote’ > PNJ *pi (API, KAY ɲi, TAP h=ui, TIM ɲi), PCJ *bajy // *baj (XAV ɲə̃ ‘baj’, XER baj); PCerr *piy ‘ ‘one’ > PNJ *pi (API pij ‘pi’, KAY pij, SUY pij, TIM pij=, PNR pij), PCJ *mici (XAV mici, XER ɲ-mici), *pipi (XER pij, pij-t // pij-du) ‘only’; PCerr *pɔ ‘flat, wide’ > PNJ *pɔ (API, KAY ɲɔ, SUY ɲ, TIM ɲ), PCJ *pɔ (XER ɲ); PCerr *ˈpɔ ‘arrow, bamboo’ > PNJ *po-te ‘bamboo’ (API po-te, TIM po-te), PCJ *poko // *pɔ ‘big arrow’ (XAV poko // pɔ); PCerr *prɔ ‘wife’ > PNJ *prɔ (API prɔ, KAY prɔ, SUY hˀ, TAP hˀ, TIM prɔ ‘wife (dead)’), PCJ *mrɔ (XAV mrɔ, XER mrɔ ‘spouse, to marry’); PCerr *prɔ ‘ ‘emitter’ > PNJ *prɔ (API prɔ, KAY prɔ ‘ashes’, SUY hˀ ‘ashes’, TIM prɔ, prɔ-y ‘coal’), PCJ *pre (XER pre-hika ‘glowing embers, pre-nizuri ‘spark’, pre-zapdo ‘ember’); PCerr *prɔm ‘hungry’ > PNJ *prɔm (API prɔm, KAY prɔm, SUY hˀm ‘to want’, TIM prɔm, PNR prɔm), PCJ *mrɔm // *mrɔ (XAV mrɔm // mrɔ ‘hungry, food’, XER mrɔm // mrɔ); PCerr *pur ‘field’ > PNJ *pur (API, KAY pur, SUY hˀ, TAP hur, TIM pur, PNR pu), PCJ *buru // *buru (XAV buru // buru, XER br); PCerr *re ‘to leave’ > PNJ *re/*re- (API re/re- ~ re), PCJ *rɛ/*rɛ-m ‘to leave.SC’ (XAV rɛ/*rɛ-m, XER rɛ/*rɛ-m ~ rɛ-m ~ rɛ-m); PCerr *rɛ ‘to look’ > PNJ *rɛ (API rɛ, TIM rɛ), PCJ *rɛ // *rɛ (XAV rɛ // rɛ ‘to look for’, XER rɛ ~ rɛ); PCerr *tə/*tə- ~ *tə- ‘to tear’ > PNJ *tə/*tə- (API tə ‘to pick, to harvest’, KAY tə ‘to cut’, SUY kə=tə ‘to cut’, TAP kə=tə ‘to cut with one cut’, TIM tə/tə- ~ tə- ‘to cut, to remove’), PCJ *ay=tə // *tə- (XAV a=tə/tə- ‘to get torn’, XER tə- ~ tə ‘to pluck, to pick, to tear’);
PCerr *te ‘tick’ > PNJ *te (API te-ti, KAY te, TAP ṭe, TIM te-re, te-ti), PCJ *ti: // *ti (XAV ti-ʔa, XER ṭi);
PCerr *terē ‘fruit of a k. of palm’ > PNJ *terē (API te-re, TIM ter-re, ter-ti ‘assai fruit’), PCJ *tiri // *tiri ‘bacuri coconut’ (XAV tiri // *tiri);
PCerr *tepē ‘fish’ > PNJ *tepē (API, KAY tep, SUY t(ʰ)ewē, TAP ṭewē, TIM tep, PNR tepi), PCJ *tepe // *tebe (XAV tepe // tebe, XER ṭpe // ṭbe);
PCerr *tey ‘leg’ > PNJ *te (API, KAY te, SUY tʰe, TAP ṭe, TIM, PNR ṭe), PCJ *tey // *te (XAV tey // te, XER ṭe);
PCerr *tẽ//tẽ-m ‘to go/come.SG’ > PNJ *tẽ//tẽ-m (API tẽ//tẽ-m, KAY tẽ//tẽ-m, SUY t(ʰ)ẽ//t(ʰ)ẽ-m, TIM tẽ//tẽ-m, PNR tẽ//tẽ-ʁi), PCJ *nẽ-m // *nẽ ‘to go.DU’ (XAV nẽ-m // nẽ, XER nẽ-m ~ nẽ-m // nẽ);
PCerr *tõb* ‘new, raw’ > PNJ *tõb*i ‘raw’ (API tõb* // tõm, SUY tʰmõ, TIM tïb*), PCJ *tem // *te (XAV tem // te);
PCerr *tõtõ ‘hard, strong’ > PNJ *tsto (API tsyč/tsyt, KAY tsyč, SUY tsõ, TIM tsy, PNR tsï), PCJ *tete // tete (XER tete ~ ṭete ~ tete), PCJ *ƞp=te=ap=tete // *ƞp=te=ap=tete ‘to be strong’ (XAV ƞp=te=ap=tete // tete // ƞp=te=ap=tete ‘to get strong, to make an effort, to recover’, XER ƞp=te ~ ƞp=tete ~ ƞp=te // ƞp=tete);
PCerr *tikĩ ‘belly’ > PNJ *tikĩ (KAY tik, SUY t(ʰ)ikĩ, TIM tik ‘pregnant’), PCJ *diki // *di (XAV diʔi // di, XER ṭiki // di ‘belly to fill the stomach’);
PCerr *ti*tikĩ/*ti-r ‘to die’ > PNJ *tĩ/*tĩ-k (API, KAY ti/ti-k, SUY ti/ti-k, TIM ti/ti-k, PNR ṭi), PCJ *dãa // da/da-ro (XAV ṭãa // da/da-ro, XER ṭa // da/da-ro);
PCerr *tikĩ ‘black’ > PNJ *tikĩ (API, KAY tik, SUY tikĩ, TIM tik, PNR ka=ti), PCJ *daka // *da (XAV ᵁt=da, XER ᵁka ‘dark’ (?), XAV ᵁ=k(r)=ti // ᵁ=k(r)=di);
PCerr *tst̩k̩ ‘to throb’ > PNJ *tst̩k̩ (TIM tst̩k ‘heartbeat, heart’), PCJ *tst̩ko // *st̩o (XAV tst̩, XER tst̩ko ~ tst̩ko);
PCerr *tẽy ‘brother’ > PNJ *tẽ (API, SUY tẽ, TIM tẽ ‘brother (alive)’, PNR ṭẽ), PCJ *ŋy // *ŋỹ ‘younger sibling of the same sex’ (XAV nỹ // nỹ, XER nō-re);
PCerr *tu/*tu-r ‘to carry’ > PNJ *tu/*tu-r (API tu, KAY tu/tu-rũ, TIM tu/tu-r, PNR tu-rũ ‘to carry in a basket.SG’), PCJ *du // *du-r ‘to carry.SG’ (XAV du // du-r, XER du/du-r ~ du-r);
PCerr *tu/*tu-r ‘to urinate’ > PNJ *tu/*tu-r (API tu/tu-r, SUY tu), PCJ *tũ/*tũ-r (XAV tũ/tũ-r, XER tũ-r);
PCerr *tũb* ‘old, tall (?)’ > PNJ *tũmũ ‘old’ (API tũmũ, KAY tũm, SUY tũmû, TIM tũm, PNR =tũ), PCJ *dum // *du ‘tall’ (XER dum), *dum-kra-ta // *dum-kra-ta ‘elder sibling of the opposite sex’ (dub-ʔata // dub-ʔata, XER dum-kra-ta);
PCerr *tiumũ ‘belly’ > PNJ *tu (API tu ‘belly, intestine’, KAY tu, TAP ṭu, TIM, PNR tu, i=tu ‘tuber’), PCJ *nôm // *du (XAV nôm // du, XER nmõ ‘fat (adj.)’);
PCerr *tyetũ ‘to burn’ > PNJ *tyetũ (API ćetũ, KAY ćet/ćerũ, SUY ćerũ, TAP ćerũ ~ ćerũ, TIM cet, PNR ćetũ), PCJ *ṣata // *ṣaṭa (XAV ṭa // ṭa, XER ṭaṭa);
PCerr *ti ‘bone’ > PNJ *ti (API i ~ ʒi, KAY ʒi, SUY ʒi, TIM ti, PNR ʒi), PCJ *hi (XAV, XER ʒi);
PCerr *ti ‘meat’ > PNJ *ti ~ *ni (API, KAY, SUY, TAP ɲi, TIM ɲi ~ ɲi, PNR ɲi), PCJ *ɲi (non-alternating *ɲi) (XAV ɲi, XER ɲi);
PCerr *tümũ ‘seed’ > PNJ *ti (API, KAY ʔi ~ i, SUY si, TAP ti, TIM hĩ, PNR ɲi), PCJ *ɲôm // *sɔ (XAV ɲôm // ʒɔ, XER ʒɔ);
PCerr *tou ‘leaf’ > PNJ *to (API ʔo ~ o, KAY ʒo, SUY hũ=so, TAP ~ hũ=to, TIM ho ‘leaf, a hair’, PNR pari=s[o]), PCJ *cu // *cu (XAV we=cuy-ʔa, =cuy // =cu, XER ʃu ‘a hair’);
PCerr *twakũ ‘coati’ > PNJ *twakũ (API wakũ, SUY wakũ, TAP toakũ, TIM wakũ), PCJ *wakũ (XAV wakũ, XER wakũ);
PCerr *weke ‘partridge’ > PNJ *beke (TIM pek‘e), PCJ *wiki (XAV wi‘i, XER wiki ~ wi‘i);
PCerr *wɔd ‘tree’ > PNJ *bɔrɔ (API pɔrɔ ~ parɔ ‘tree, horn, plant, trunk, canoe, car’, KAY bɔrĩ ‘tree, horn’, TIM pɔr ‘tree, horn’, PNR pɔr ‘tree’, kyɔ=s=pɔrũ ‘horn’), PCJ *wede // *wege (XAV wede // *wede, XER wede);
PCerr *wɨi/’wɨ-r ‘to kill.SG, to extinguish’ > PNJ *bɨi/bɨ-r (API pɨi/pɨ-r, KAY bɨ/bɨ-n, SUY pɨ/pɨ-n, TAP mɨ/mɨ-r, TIM pɨ/pɨ-r ‘to kill (with an arrow), to extinguish’), PNR pɨ/pɨ-r), PCJ *wɨi/wɨ-r (XAV wɨi/wɨ-r, XER wɨi/wɨ-r ~ w-r);
PCerr ‘ya ‘to stand.SG’ > PNJ *ŋa4/ŋa3-b~ ‘ŋa4-m (API ŋa/ŋ3-b~ ŋa-r, KAY ŋa/ŋ-m, SUY ta/tã-mã, TAP la, TIM ŋa/ŋ3-b~ ŋa-r, PNR ŋ3 ~ ŋa), PCJ *ŋa (XAV ŋa, XER ᵽa);
PCerr *yad-kwa ‘mouth’ > PNJ *yar-kwa (API y-a-kw, KAY, SUY yay-kwa, TAP yay-k~a, TIM yar-k~a, PNR sa-ko), PCJ *yada-wa (XAV ʒada-wa, XER ʒada-wa ‘mouth, door’);
PCerr *ya-te ‘nest’ > PNJ *ya-te (API, KAY yae, TIM yache, PNR sase), PCJ *ya-ci (XAV yaci, XER ʃa-pi ‘to make a nest’);
PCerr *y3 ‘pain, to hurt’ > PNJ *d3 (API ç3, SUY, TAP t3, TIM ç3, PNR s3/s3-r), PCJ * (XAV 3e, XER ʨe);
PCerr *y3 ‘urine’ > PNJ *d3 ‘urine, bladder’ (API ç3, TIM ç3), PCJ *e (XAV 3e, 3e-ke ‘bladder’, XER ʨe ‘bladder’);
PCerr *=y3 (instrumental suffix) > PNJ *=d3 (API =ç3, KAY =ʒ3, SUY, TAP =t3, TIM =ç3), PCJ *=e (XAV =3e, XER =ːʨe);
PCerr *y3/‘y3-Pr3 ‘to enter.SG’ > PNJ *d3/*d3-r (API a=ç3/ç3-r, KAY wa=ʒ3/wa=ʒ3-r, SUY a=t3/t3=t3, TIM ç3/ç3-r, PNR s3), PCJ *e/*e-bre (XAV 3e/3e-bre, XER ʨe-bre ~ ʒ-bre ~ ʨ-bre);
PCerr *y3 ‘bitter’ > PNJ *dɔ (API ç9, KAY ʒɔ, TAP t9, TIM ç9), PCJ *e (XAV 3e, XER ʨe);
PCerr *y3/*y3-r ‘to put, to lay’ > PNJ *dɔ/*dɔ-r (API çi/çi-r, KAY ʒi/ʒi-r, SUY ti/ti-r, TIM ci/çi-r), PCJ *hi/*hi-ri ‘to put.SG’ (XAV hi/hi-ri ‘to put, to leave.SG’, XER hi/hi-ri ‘to put, to cook, to determine.SG’);
PCerr *yɔb ‘to grind’ > PNJ *dɔb ‘flour, powder’ (API ʒɔb // çɔmɔ, KAY ʒɔb”, (?) TAP to=çom-çi, TIM cob”), PCJ *cum // *cu (XAV şu, XER şum ~ şumú // şu);
PCerr *yuPrū ‘pus’ > PNJ *du ~ *duri (API çu ~ çurû, KAY ʒurû, TAP turû, TIM cur), PCJ *kur (XAV kur // kɾu, XER kɾu // kɾu);
PCerr *ywa ‘tooth’ > PNJ *dɔwa (API çwa, KAY ʒwa, SUY twa, TAP ċwa, TIM cuwa, PNR swa ~ soa), PCJ *ko (XAV ʔwa, XER kwa).

A non-exhaustive list of grammatical morphemes includes:
PCerr *wa ‘I (nominative)’ > PNJ *ba (API wa, KAY ba, SUY wa/pa, TAP wa, TIM wa/pa), PCJ *wa (XAV wa-ho, XER wa, wa-ho);
PCerr *i4- ‘I (oblique)’ > PNJ *i4- (API i-/i-c/i~/i-, KAY, SUY, TAP i-, TIM iy-), PCJ *i- (XAV ʔi-, XER ʔi-);
PCerr *ɡa ‘thou (nominative)’ > PNJ *ga (API ka, KAY ga, SUY, TAP, TIM, PNR ka), PCJ *ka (XAV ɡa-ho, XER ka, to=ka);
PCerr ‘ay- ‘thou (oblique, class I)’ > PNJ *a- (API, KAY, SUY, TAP, TIM a-, PNR a- ~ ha-), PCJ *ay (XAV ʔa-, XER ay-);
PCerr *m3 ‘dative postposition’ > PNJ *m3 (API, KAY, SUY, TAP, TIM, PNR m3), PCJ *m3 (XAV, XER m3);
PCerr *ni4 ‘genitive postposition’ > PNJ *ni (PNR y), PCJ *ni (XAV ni, XER ni);
PCerr *te ‘ergative postposition’ > PNJ *=te (API, KAY, SUY =te, TAP =re, TIM =te), PCJ *te (XAV =te, XER =te).
The following comparisons are somewhat problematic:

PCerr *"be'nen’ ‘honey’ > PNJ *"be'd̪t̪’ (API "beyd̪”, KAY meyd̪”, SUY "be'ni, TAP "bey, TIM ped”, PNR n̡=peyni), PCJ *pi'n̂i/p̂ (XAV pi'n̂i/p̂)

PCerr *p̡t̪t̪ > PNJ *p̡t̪t̪ ‘to run’ (API p̡t̪t̪, KAY pr̡t̪t̪, SUY ḥḷṇ), PCJ *a'y=ca=ṃ3y // *a'y=ca=ṃ3 to run.DU’ (XAV a=ca=ṃ3y // a=ca=ṃ3);

PCerr *y̡d̪t̪’3 > *ney ‘sweet, tasty’ > PNJ *i̡d̪t̪’ (API č̡yd̪”, KAY ʒ̡yd̪”, TIM č̡d̪”), PCJ *sey // *3e (XAV 3ey // 3e, (?) XER zey).

5. Conclusion

In this paper I have undertaken the first attempt at a systematic reconstruction of Proto-Cerrado phonology and lexicon. However, a number of issues remain to be tackled. These include:

— evolution of Central Jê verbal morphology and morphosyntax with a special attention to finiteness, verbal number and alignment;
— identification of the remaining correspondences between Proto-Northern Jê and Proto-Central Jê, particularly involving diphthongs and codas;
— emergence of unexpected nasality in certain Central Jê roots;
— the origin of Central Jê stems belonging to the classes A and C.

Yet another issue that remains beyond the scope of this paper are contacts between Central Jê languages and Northern Jê languages. In addition to evident Central Jê borrowings in individual Northern Jê languages (Xavante ʔuta // ʔuḥx̣da ‘tapi’ > Tapayúnha uḥ-či ~ uḥ-či, Central Jê *bata // *bada or Xavante bata // bada ‘sun’ > Panará wata-ti), a number of roots reconstructible to Proto-Northern Jê and to Proto-Central Jê display completely irregular sound correspondences, suggestive of their loan origin in one of the branches (or in both), cf. “southern tamandua’ (PNJ *p̡t̪t̪, PCJ *pati // *pad̪i), ‘paca’ (PNJ *gra, PCJ *krawa), ‘tobacco’ (PNJ *karat’d̪i ~ *karat’d̪i, PCJ *war), ‘Babassu palm’ (PNJ *r̡m̡d̪, PCJ *n̡r̡m̡). It is also necessary to mention the curious case of the “Menren” wordlist provided by Loukotka (1963: 51–54), which represents a Timbira variety close to Pykobjê (as suggested by its vocalism) and contains a considerable number of word of obvious Central Jê origin (Nikulin 2015: 26–27).

According to the principles of bottom-up reconstruction, a reconstruction of Proto-Jê is necessary in order to warrant a coherent use of Jê data in Macro-Jê comparative studies. I intend to proceed to this stage in a future paper.
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А. В. Никулин. Реконструкция фонологии праязыка нагорной ветви семьи же

Статья продолжает серию публикаций по исторической фонологии языков южноамериканской макросемьи макро-же. Автор устанавливает систему регулярных фонетических соответствий между языками центральной группы семьи же (шаванте и шеренте) и предлагает на их основе реконструкцию праязыка этой группы. Затем эта реконструкция сопоставляется с реконструкцией праязыка северной группы семьи же (Nikulin 2016b), и предлагается реконструкция общего праязыка для этих двух групп, пранагорного же. В статье приводится не претендующий на полноту список этимологий для нагорной ветви семьи же.

Ключевые слова: языки же, языки макро-же, язык шаванте, язык шеренте, языковая реконструкция, сравнительно-исторический метод.