

Studies in Uralic vocalism I: A more economical solution for the reconstruction of the Proto-Permic vowel system¹

The article discusses certain issues with the system of Proto-Permic vowels, reconstructed by V. I. Lytkin in his seminal monograph “Historical Vocalism of the Permic Languages”. Several rules of complementary distribution between vocalic correspondences are established, allowing us to postulate a more compact vowel system for Proto-Permic.

Keywords: vocalic systems, linguistic reconstruction, comparative phonology, Proto-Permic vocalism, Permic languages, Komi language, Udmurt language.

In this article we intend to reconsider the data that was used by V. I. Lytkin in his classic work on the historical vocalism of the Permic languages [Lytkin 1964] as a basis for his reconstruction of the Proto-Permic vocalism. Our main point of interest are the vowels $*e$, $*\epsilon$, $*\varepsilon$, $*\dot{o}$, $*\dot{\epsilon}$ and $*\ddot{o}$, assumed by Lytkin for the Proto-Permic stage. The Proto-Komi and Proto-Udmurt vocalic reconstructions given below are based on the following set of correspondences between the dialects:²

Vocalic correspondences between Komi dialects										
Proto-Komi	$*i$	$*\dot{u}$	$*u$	$*\dot{e}$	$*\ddot{o}$	$*\dot{\epsilon}$	$*e$	$*\dot{o}$	$*o$	$*a$
Literary Komi	i	ɨ	u	e	ɛ	o	e	ɛ	o	a
Upper Sysola	i	ɨ	u	e	ɛ	ɔ	e	ɛ	o	a
Old Permic	i	ɨ	u	ɛ	ɛ	ɔ	e	ɛ	o	a
Eastern Vyčegda	i	ú	u	e	ó	o	e	ó	o	a
Jažva	i	ə	u	í	ú	ú	e	ó	o	a

Vocalic correspondences between Udmurt dialects								
Proto-Udmurt	$*i$	$*\dot{u}$	$*\dot{i}$	$*u$	$*e$	$*\dot{o}$	$*o$	$*a$
Literary Udmurt	i	u	ɨ	u	e	ɛ	o	a
South-Western dialects	i	ú	ə	u	e	ó	o	a
Besermyan	i	ə	ə	u	e	e	o	a

Notes:

- 1) Proto-Udmurt $*u$ in South-Western dialects yields \dot{u} in roots of the CV type.
- 2) Proto-Udmurt $*i$ in Besermyan yields u before palatalised consonants.

¹ This study was carried out with the support of RGNF foundation, project № 09-04-95202a/F. I am grateful to N. Yu. Zhivlova who helped me with the English translation of this article.

² The tables are based on [Lytkin 1964: 231–233].

Let us start with the Proto-Permic phonemes **e* и **ɛ*. According to Lytkin's reconstruction, this phonemic opposition is preserved in Proto-Komi, where **e* yields **e*, and Proto-Permic **ɛ* is reflected as Proto-Komi **ɛ*. In Proto-Udmurt those two vowels merged, yielding **e* when adjacent to a palatalised consonant and **o* when adjacent to a non-palatalised consonant.

The analysis of the Permic material shows that in the words that can be etymologised as going back to Proto-Permic (i.e. words which have Udmurt or other Finno-Ugric etymological correspondences) Proto-Komi phonemes **e* и **ɛ* appear in complementary distribution: **e* is the regular outcome before resonants (note three examples of **ɛ* before a lost **j* or **l̥*) and voiceless obstruents. Before voiced obstruents the regular outcome is **ɛ*, except the position adjacent to **b* or **m*, where both reflexes are possible (there are six examples with **e* and two with **ɛ*). Thus, the difference between the Proto-Komi vowels **e* and **ɛ* cannot date back to Proto-Permic.

Before resonants (PK **e* — 26 examples, PK **ɛ* (before a lost **j* or **l̥*) — 3 examples):

PK **berd* — PUD **bord(i)* ‘wall; measure of length’ [KESK: 39]; PK **berg-* — PUD **berg-* ‘to turn around’ [KESK: 38–39]; PK **ćerań* — PUD **ćonari* ‘spider’ [KESK: 303]; PK **ćerig* — PUD **ćorig* ‘fish’ [KESK: 303–304]; PK **er-* — PUD **or-* ‘to roar, curse’ [KESK: 332]; PK **jen* (**jenm-*) — PUD **in* (**inm-*) ‘god; sky’ [KESK: 99]; PK **kel* (**kelj-*) ‘husband’s brother’s wife’ — PUD **kaí* ‘a form of address of a younger daughter-in-law to an older one’ [KESK: 120]; PK **kel* ‘pale — PUD **kel-* ‘ginger (a horse’s colour only)’ [KESK: 121]; PK **ker* (**kerj-*) — PUD **kor* ‘log, beam’ [KESK: 121]; PK **ker-* — PUD **kor-* ‘to chop’ [KESK: 121–122]; PK **lém* — PUD **lém* ‘glue’ [KESK: 158]; PK **néród* — PUD **nored* ‘gland’ [KESK: 187]; PK **pelús* — PUD **polis* ‘paddle’ [KESK: 218]; PK **pelí* — PUD **pelí* ‘ear’ [KESK: 218–219]; PK **perúd* ‘quick’ (PFP **pir3*) [KESK: 220; UEW: 732]; PK **śer-* — PUD **śer-* ‘to laugh’ [KESK: 251]; PK **śeról* — PUD **śerel* ‘nit’ [KESK: 251]; PK **śermód* — PUD **śermet* ‘bridle’ [KESK: 251]; PK **śerd-* — PUD **śordj-* ‘to sift’ [KESK: 319]; PK **ver* — PUD **vor-* ‘man’ (in Udmurt *vorgoron* ‘man’) (cf. [KESK: 52]); PK **ver-* — PUD **vor-* ‘to flicker, ripple’ [KESK: 52]; PK **verd-* — PUD **vord-* ‘to feed, rear’ [KESK: 52]; PK **verm-* — PUD **vorm-* ‘to overcome, win’ [KESK: 53]; PK **verzóm* — PUD **voržem* ‘(to ride) on horseback’ [KESK: 52–53]; PK **zel* — PUD **zol* ‘tight, strong’ [KESK: 108]; PK **zer* — PUD **zor* ‘rain’ [KESK: 108].

PK **jem* ‘needle’ (PU **äjma*) [KESK: 99; UEW: 22]; PK **pemiid* — PUD **pełmit* ‘dark’ [KESK: 219]; PK **vem* — PUD **vijm* ‘brain’ [KESK: 51].

Exceptions:

PK **żeng-* ‘to strike, shoot’ — PUD **żong-* ‘to knock, strike’ [KESK: 90]; PK **żeńiud* ‘short’ (PFP **čen3*) [UEW: 620]³.

Before voiceless obstruents (PK **e* — 15 examples):

PK **ćećc-* — PUD **tekć-* ‘to jump’ [KESK: 304]; PK **ćepol-* — PUD **ćepil-* ‘to pinch’ [KESK: 303]; PK **esk-* — PUD **osk-* ‘to believe’ [KESK: 332]; PK **lećiid* — PUD **lećit* ‘pointed’ [KESK: 165]; PK **pečor* — PUD **pušner*, **pošner* ‘nettle’ [KESK: 220–221]; PK **pes-* — PUD **pos-* ‘to brake, crumple’ [KESK: 220]; PK **pet-* — PUD **pot-* ‘to come out, to ascend’ [KESK: 220]; PK **rekt-* — PUD **żokt-* ‘to unload’ [KESK: 240]; PK **setor* — PUD **suter* ‘blackcurrant’ [KESK: 272]; PK **śet-* — PUD **śot-* ‘to give’ [KESK: 251]; PK **sep* — PUD **sep*

³ According to UEW, this etymology is questionable; this makes us doubt whether the Komi word can indeed be traced back to the Proto-Permic stage.

‘ear (of grain)’ [KESK: 319]; PK **vek-* — PUd **vek-* ‘narrow’ [KESK: 51]; PK **vešk-* — PUd **vešk-* ‘straight, slim’ [KESK: 54]; PK **vešt-* ‘to buy out’ — PUd **vošt-* ‘to cancel’ [KESK: 54]; PK **žeskid* — PUd **žoskit* ‘tight’ [KESK: 90].

Exceptions:

PK **p̥es* — PUd **pis* ‘log, firewood’ [KESK: 220]; PK **v̥etl-* — PUd **vetl-* ‘to walk’ [KESK: 54].

Before voiced obstruents (not adjacent to **b* or **m*) (PK **ɛ* — 16 examples):

PK **c̥eg-* — PUd **c̥ig-* ‘to break (itself)’ [KESK: 303]; PK **ɛža* — PUd **ožo* ‘sod; grass’ [KESK: 331]; PK **gez* (**gezj-*) — PUd **gozj-* ‘rope’ [KESK: 76]; PK **k̥ež-* ‘to wait (for), hope’ — PUd **kož-* ‘to suppose, believe’ [KESK: 120]; PK **k̥ež-* postposition — PUd **kož-* ‘alone, by oneself’ [KESK: 121]; PK **k̥ež-* — PUd **kož-* ‘turn away (from a road)’ [KESK: 121]; PK **l̥ež-* — PUd **lež-* ‘to release, let off’ [KESK: 165]; PK **p̥ež-* — PUd **pež-* ‘to spring (with regard to a trap, snare or spring)’ [KESK: 218]; PK **regiud* — PUd **žog* ‘soon’ [KESK: 240]; PK **r̥ež-* — PUd **žež-* ‘to rip open’ [KESK: 240]; PK **šed-* — PUd **šed-* ‘to hit upon, chance upon’ [KESK: 318]; PK **v̥ež* — PUd **vož* ‘green’ [KESK: 49]; PK **v̥ež* — PUd **vož* ‘envy, hatred’ [KESK: 49]; PK **v̥ež* — PUd **vož* ‘crossroads’ [KESK: 49–50]; PK **v̥ež-* — PUd **voš-* ‘(ex)change, replace’ [KESK: 50]; PK **v̥eža* — PUd **vožo* ‘holy, saint’ [KESK: 50].

One exception is PK **šeg* ‘ankle-bone, ankle’ — PUd **šeg* ‘sprout, outgrowth (on a tree)’ [KESK: 318].

Before voiced obstruents (adjacent to **b* or **m*) (PK **e* — 6 examples, PK **ɛ* — 2 examples):

PK **bed* (**bedj-*) — PUd **bodj-* ‘stick, cane’ [KESK: 38]; PK **leb-* — PUd **lob-* ‘to fly’ [KESK: 165]; PK **med* — PUd **med* ‘let, may, in order to’ [KESK: 171]; PK **meg* ‘river bend’ — PUd **mog* ‘roundabout’ [KESK: 171]; PK **mez-* — PUd **moz-* ‘to set oneself free’ [KESK: 171]; PK **šebr-* — PUd **šob(i)r-* ‘to cover’ [KESK: 318].

PK **m̥ed* — PUd **med* ‘pay, payment’ [KESK: 171]; PK **ńebiud* — PUd **ńebit* ‘soft’ [KESK: 187].

* * *

Now let us consider Udmurt correspondences to the Proto-Komi phonemes **ɛ* and **e*. In Udmurt three types of relevant positions are attested:

- 1) positions where the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi **ɛ* and **e* is **e*,
- 2) positions where the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi **ɛ* and **e* is **o*,
- 3) positions where both Proto-Udmurt **e* and **o* are possible in the place of Proto-Komi **ɛ* and **e* with no clear rule of complementary distribution.

1. Positions where the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi **ɛ* and **e* is **e*:

Before palatalised consonants (PUd **e* — 11 examples):

PK **kél* ‘pale’ — PUd **kél-* ‘ginger (colour, only of horses)’ [KESK: 121]; PK **lećiud* — PUd **lećít* ‘pointed’ [KESK: 165]; PK **l̥ež-* — PUd **lež-* ‘to let, release’ [KESK: 165]; PK **pel̥-* — PUd **pel̥* ‘ear’ [KESK: 218–219]; PK **p̥emíud* — PUd **pel̥mit* ‘dark’ [KESK: 219]; PK **p̥ež-* —

PUD *pež- ‘to spring (a trap, snare or spring)’ [KESK: 218]; PK *rež ~ -e- — PUD *žež ~ -ž- ‘bridle-rein’ [KESK: 240]; PK *rež- — PUD *žež- ‘to rip open’ [KESK: 240]; PK *sež ~ -e- — PUD *sež ‘clear’ [KESK: 271]; PK *šed- — PUD *šed- ‘to hit upon, chance upon’ [KESK: 318]; PK *vešk- — PUD *vešk- ‘straight, slim’ [KESK: 54].

Before *m* (PUD *e — 2 examples):

PK *čem ~ -e- — PUD *čem ‘thick, close’ [KESK: 290]; PK *lém — PUD *lém ‘glue’ [KESK: 158].

2. Positions where the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi *e and *o is *o:

Before *n* and *y* (PUD *o — 5 examples):

PK *ćerań — PUD *ónari ‘spider’ [KESK: 303]; PK *me (*men-) — PUD *mon ‘I’ [KESK: 170]; PK *šen- ~ -e- — PUD *šonj- ‘to wave’ [KESK: 319]; PK *te (*ten-) — PUD *ton ‘you (2nd Sg.)’ [KESK: 293–294]; PK *ženg- ‘to strike, shoot’ — PUD *žong- ‘to knock, strike’ [KESK: 90].

Before *l* (PUD *o — 2 examples):

PK *pelius — PUD *polis ‘paddle’ [KESK: 218]; PK *zel — PUD *zol ‘tight, strong’ [KESK: 108].

Before non-palatalised sibilants and affricates (PUD *o — 21 examples):

PK *esk- — PUD *osk- ‘to believe’ [KESK: 332]; PK *ež- ~ -e- ‘to attack’ — PUD *ož ‘war’ [KESK: 331]; PK *eža — PUD *ožo ‘sod; grass’ [KESK: 331]; PK *gež (*gežj-) — PUD *goži ‘rope’ [KESK: 76]; PK *kes- ~ -e- — PUD *kos- ‘to order’ [KESK: 122–123]; PK *kež- ‘to wait (for), hope’ — PUD *kož- ‘to suppose, believe’ [KESK: 120]; PK *kež- postposition — PUD *kož- ‘alone, by oneself’ [KESK: 121]; PK *kež- — PUD *kož- ‘turn away (from a road)’ [KESK: 121]; PK *mez- — PUD *moz- ‘to set oneself free’ [KESK: 171]; PK *pes- — PUD *pos- ‘to break, crumple’ [KESK: 220]; PK *pes- ~ -e- — PUD *pos- ‘to wash’ [KESK: 220]; PK *pež ~ -e- — PUD *pož ‘dirty’ [KESK: 218]; PK *vešt- ‘to buy out’ — PUD *vošt- ‘to cancel’ [KESK: 54]; PK *vezj ~ -e- — PUD *vožj- ‘to stretch, to hang something’ [KESK: 51]; PK *vež — PUD *vož ‘green’ [KESK: 49]; PK *vež — PUD *vož ‘envy, hatred’ [KESK: 49]; PK *vež — PUD *vož ‘crossroads’ [KESK: 49–50]; PK *vež- — PUD *voš- ‘(ex)change, replace’ [KESK: 50]; PK *veža — PUD *vožo ‘holy, saint’ [KESK: 50]; PK *žeskiud — PUD *žoskit ‘tight’ [KESK: 90]; PK *žez ~ -e- — PUD *žoz(i) ‘grasshopper’ [KESK: 288].

3. Positions where both Proto-Udmurt *e and *o are possible in the place of Proto-Komi *e and *e:

Before non-palatalised stops (PUD *o — 12 examples, PUD *e — 12 examples):

PK *bed (*bedj-) — PUD *bodi ‘stick, cane’ [KESK: 38]; PK *čeg- ~ -e- ‘a plank on the edge of a bench in a house’ — PUD *čog ‘stake, peg, nail, rack’ [KESK: 289–290]; PK *leb- — PUD *lob- ‘to fly’ [KESK: 165]; PK *meg ‘river bend’ — PUD *mog ‘roundabout’ [KESK: 171]; PK *pet- — PUD *pot- ‘to come out, to ascend’ [KESK: 220]; PK *regūd — PUD *žog ‘soon’ [KESK: 240]; PK *rekt- — PUD *žokt- ‘to unload’ [KESK: 240]; PK *rektan ~ -e- — PUD *žokton ‘reel’ [KESK: 240]; PK *šet- — PUD *sot- ‘to give’ [KESK: 251]; PK *šebr- — PUD *šob(i)r ‘to cover’ [KESK: 318]; PK *vetōs ~ -e- — PUD *votes ‘spider web, snare’ [KESK: 54]; PK *žeb ~ -e- ‘weak, puny’ — PUD *žob ‘dirty, muddy, evil’ [KESK: 102].

PK *ćećć- — PUD *tekć- ‘to jump’ [KESK: 304]; PK *ćepol- — PUD *ćepil- ‘to pinch’ [KESK: 303]; PK *geg- ~ -*e*- — PUD *keg- ‘to freeze, feel chilly’ [KESK: 75]; PK *lek (*lekj-) ~ -*e*- — PUD *leki ‘ankle-bone, knucklebone’ [KESK: 158]; PK *med — PUD *med ‘let, may, in order to’ [KESK: 171]; PK *med — PUD *med ‘pay, payment’ [KESK: 171]; PK *ńebud — PUD *ńebit ‘soft’ [KESK: 187]; PK *śepiūs ~ -*e*- — PUD *śepijs ‘sack’ [KESK: 250]; PK *śeg ‘ankle-bone, ankle’ — PUD *śeg ‘sprout, outgrowth (on a tree)’ [KESK: 318]; PK *śep — PUD *śep ‘ear (of grain)’ [KESK: 319]; PK *vek- — PUD *vek- ‘narrow’ [KESK: 51]; PK *vetl- — PUD *vetl- ‘to walk’ [KESK: 54].

Before r (PUD *o — 15 examples, PUD *e — 10 examples):

PK *berd — PUD *bord(i) ‘wall; measure of length’ [KESK: 39]; PK *ćerig — PUD *ćorig ‘fish’ [KESK: 303–304]; PK *er- — PUD *or- ‘to roar, curse’ [KESK: 332]; PK *ker (*kerj-) — PUD *kor ‘log, beam’ [KESK: 121]; PK *ker- — PUD *kor- ‘to chop’ [KESK: 121–122]; PK *ńeröd — PUD *ńored ‘gland’ [KESK: 187]; PK *śer ~ -*e*- — PUD *śor ‘marten’ [KESK: 250]; PK *śerd — PUD *śordj- ‘to sift’ [KESK: 319]; PK *ver — PUD *vor- ‘man’ (in Udmurt *vorgoron* ‘man’) (cf. [KESK: 52]); PK *ver- — PUD *vor- ‘to flicker, ripple’ [KESK: 52]; PK *verd- — PUD *vord- ‘to feed, rear’ [KESK: 52]; PK *verd- ~ -*e*- — PUD *vord- ‘to give birth to’ [KESK: 52]; PK *verm- — PUD *vorm- ‘to overcome, win’ [KESK: 53]; PK *veržom — PUD *voržem ‘(to ride) on horseback’ [KESK: 52–53]; PK *zer — PUD *zor ‘rain’ [KESK: 108].

PK *berg- — PUD *berg- ‘to revolve’ [KESK: 38–39]; PK *beriś ~ -*e*- — PUD *beriż ~ -*ɛ* ‘lime-tree’ [KESK: 39]; PK *perōb ~ -*e*- ‘a moss-covered riverbank in a wood’ — PUD *pera ‘a damp black earth’ [KESK: 220]; PK *ser- ~ -*e*- ‘to hoe’ — PUD *ser- ‘to untangle’ [KESK: 272]; PK *sera ~ -*e*- — PUD *sereg ‘firebrand’ [KESK: 272]; PK *serög ~ -*e*- — PUD *sereg ‘corner’ [KESK: 272]; PK *śer- — PUD *śer- ‘to laugh’ [KESK: 251]; PK *śeröl — PUD *śerel ‘nit’ [KESK: 251]; PK *śermód — PUD *śermet ‘bridle-rein’ [KESK: 251]; PK *śerg- ~ -*e*- — PUD *śer- ‘to move apart’ [KESK: 319].

This “partial complementary distribution” can be explained in two ways: 1) PUD *e and *o, corresponding to Proto-Komi *e и *ę, go back to a single Proto-Permic phoneme, and the double reflex of this phoneme before non-palatalised stops and r is conditioned by some unknown factor; 2) PUD *e и *o in the words analyzed above reflect two different Proto-Permic vowels: this opposition is preserved only in Udmurt and only before non-palatalised stops and r. The first explanation seems more plausible, because we have no external data which could corroborate the antiquity of the Proto-Udmurt opposition *e vs. *o.

Now we may turn to the Proto-Permic vowels *ε и *ö. According to Lytkin’s reconstruction, those Proto-Permic phonemes merged in Komi, yielding Proto-Komi *ö. In Udmurt their reflexes are, however, different: Proto-Permic *ε yields PUD *e, and Proto-Permic *ö yields PUD *o (but *a before *l not after a dental) (see [Lytkin 1964: 128–129]).

An examination of correspondences to Proto-Komi *ö in Udmurt reveals that here as well the Proto-Udmurt *e and *o are in a “partial complementary distribution” like the one shown above (but not identical). In this case we can also distinguish between three types of positions:

- 1) positions in which the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi *ö is *e,
- 2) positions in which the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi *ö is *o,
- 3) positions in which both Proto-Udmurt *e and *o are possible in the place of Proto-Komi *ö with no clear rule of complementary distribution.

1. Positions in which the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi *ó is *e:

Before palatalised consonants (PUD *e — 11 examples):

PK *cój ~ -q̄- — PUD *céj ‘bark beetle’ [KESK: 234]; PK *kóć ~ -q̄- — PUD *keć ‘hare’ [KESK: 143]; PK *kóć- ~ -q̄- ‘rinse out’ — PUD *keć- ‘to tilt to one side, overturn; to pour out’ [KESK: 143]; PK *kój ~ -q̄- ‘a worm (in meat)’ — PUD *kej ‘moth’ [KESK: 139]; PK *kóž- ~ -q̄- — PUD *kež- ‘cold’ [KESK: 139]; PK *lóś- — PUD *leš- ‘to approach’ [KESK: 163]; PK *poć ~ -q̄- ‘grandmother’ — PUD *peć ‘old’ [KESK: 230]; PK *poim — PUD *peń (*peńm-) ‘ashes’ [KESK: 228]; PK *pól- — PUD *pel- ‘to blow’ [KESK: 228]; PK *róć ~ -q̄- ‘lump, knob’ — PUD *jeć ‘humerus’ [KESK: 244]; PK *vól- ~ -q̄- — PUD *vel- ‘just now’ [KESK: 66–67].

One exception is PK *lól ~ -q̄- — PUD *lólo ‘milk’ (a nursery word) [KESK: 164].

Before s (PUD *e — 5 examples):

PK *cósčkúd — PUD *českít ‘tasty’ [KESK: 312]; PK *móś (*mósk-) — PUD *mes ‘cow; female’ [KESK: 176]; PK *óz- — PUD *est- ‘to burn’ [KESK: 210]; PK *sós — PUD *ses ‘unclean’ [KESK: 264]; PK *vós- — PUD *ves- ‘thin’ [KESK: 68].

2. Positions in which the regular Proto-Udmurt correspondence to Proto-Komi *ó is *o:

Before non-palatalised sibilants and affricates, except s (PUD *o — 12 examples):

PK *čóč — PUD *čoš ‘together’ [KESK: 290]; PK *čóž ~ -q̄- — PUD *čož postposition ‘during, throughout’ [KESK: 310]; PK *józ ~ -q̄- — PUD *joz ‘joint, articulation’ [KESK: 112]; PK *jóž ~ -q̄- — PUD *jočko ‘raw, uncooked’ [KESK: 112]; PK *nóšta ~ -q̄- — PUD *noš ‘again’ [KESK: 195]; PK *níóž ~ -q̄- ‘slowly’ — PUD *níož- ‘to drawl’ [KESK: 198]; PK *óš (*óšk-) — PUD *oš ‘bull’ [KESK: 213]; PK *óš- — PUD *oš- ‘to hang’ [KESK: 213]; PK *óšmóś — PUD *ošmes ‘well, spring’ [KESK: 213]; PK *óžün ~ *q̄- — PUD *ozon ‘berth’ [KESK: 210]; PK *óžün ~ *q̄- — PUD *ožon ‘chisel’ [KESK: 210]; PK *róz ~ -q̄- — PUD *žoz ‘madder’ [KESK: 243].

Before l (PUD *a — 10 examples, PUD *o (after a dental) — 5 examples):

PK *cół — PUD *čál ‘quiet’ [KESK: 310]; PK *cół- ~ -q̄- ‘to cut a slice’ — PUD *čál- ‘to hew a stake’ [KESK: 311]; PK *kól (*kólj-) — PUD *kal ‘cord’ [KESK: 137]; PK *kólt- ~ -q̄- — PUD *kalt- ‘to catch, to fish out (using a net), to take out with a ladle (e.g. meat from a kettle)’ [KESK: 138]; PK *mólp- ~ -q̄- — PUD *malp- ‘to think’ [KESK: 175]; PK *ól- — PUD *al- ‘to prohibit, repress, soothe’ [KESK: 211]; PK *pól — PUD *pal ‘half’ [KESK: 227]; PK *sól- — PUD *śal- ‘to spit’ [KESK: 270]; PK *sóla ~ -q̄- — PUD *śala ‘hazel-grouse’ [KESK: 270]; PK *vól — PUD *val ‘horse’ [KESK: 65].

PK *dól — PUD *dol ‘all, everything’ [UEW: 518]; PK *dólal- ~ -q̄- — PUD *dolal- ‘to flutter’ [KESK: 96]; PK *tól — PUD *tol ‘winter’ [KESK: 283]; PK *tólös ~ -q̄- — PUD *toles ‘a two-year old ram’ [KESK: 283]; PK *tólüs — PUD *tolež ‘moon’ [KESK: 283].

One exception is PK *kóld- ‘kolobok (a kind of pastry or pie)’ — PUD *kold-, *kóld- ‘spring wheat’ [KESK: 137–138].

3. Positions in which both Proto-Udmurt *e and *o are possible in the place of Proto-Komi *ö with no clear rule of complementary distribution:

Before non-palatalised nasals (PUD *o – 6 examples, PUD *e – 5 examples):

PK *gón – PUD *gon ‘feather, wool’ [KESK: 80]; PK *lóm ~ -ȫ – PUD *lom ‘scab, mange, tetter’ [KESK: 162]; PK *pón- ~ -ȫ ‘to copulate’ – PUD *pon-, *pón- ‘to put’ [KESK: 228]; PK *róm – PUD *žom- ‘colour’ [KESK: 243–244]; PK *rómij – -ȫ – PUD *žomes- ‘cud’ [KESK: 244]; PK *rómüd ~ -ȫ – PUD *žomit ‘twilight’ [KESK: 244].

PK *dönz- ~ -ȫ ‘constitute one’s share’ – PUD *demz- ‘to hit against, to catch on, to get stuck’ [KESK: 96]; PK *kón – PUD *kenem ‘hemp’ [KESK: 141]; PK *kón ~ -ȫ – PUD *ken ‘female (of a wood- or black grouse)’ [KESK: 141]; PK *ömiž – PUD *emež ‘raspberry’ [KESK: 211]; PK *zóm ‘steep; straight’ – PUD *zem ‘truth, rightly; truthful’ [KESK: 106].

Before non-palatalised stops (PUD *o – 13 examples, PUD *e – 11 examples):

PK *čök- ~ -ȫ – PUD *čok- ‘to close’ [KESK: 290]; PK *gög (*göğ-) – PUD *gogi ‘navel’ [KESK: 79]; PK *góp (*gópt-) – PUD *gop ‘pit, hole’ [KESK: 80]; PK *jög ~ -ȫ – PUD *log ‘outgrowth (on a tree)’ [KESK: 112]; PK *köt- – PUD *kot ‘wet’ [KESK: 143]; PK *nöd ~ -ȫ ‘riddle’ – PUD *nod ‘sharpness, quick wit’ [KESK: 194]; PK *öök- – PUD *ok- ‘to gather’ [KESK: 211]; PK *ötik – PUD *odig ‘one’ [KESK: 212]; PK *pöd- – PUD *pod- ‘to close’ [KESK: 227]; PK *pöt ~ -ȫ ‘winterweed (plant)’ – PUD *pot ‘goose-foot (plant)’ [KESK: 230]; PK *róp- ~ -ȫ – PUD *žopi ‘smoke-hole in a bathhouse’ [KESK: 244]; PK *söp- ~ -ȫ ‘to eat, gorge oneself’ – PUD *sop- ‘to champ’ [KESK: 264]; PK *töd- – PUD *tod- ‘to know’ [KESK: 283].

PK *čökt- – PUD *čekt- ‘to order, command’ [KESK: 290]; PK *jökt- – PUD *ekt- ‘to dance’ [KESK: 113]; PK *kokan ~ -ȫ – PUD *kekon ‘hellebore’ [KESK: 140]; PK *möd- – PUD *med- ‘to gather’ [KESK: 176]; PK *ögür – PUD *egir ‘coal’ [KESK: 209]; PK *öksü – PUD *eksej ‘prince, tsar’ [KESK: 211]; PK *pök (*pök-) ‘caviar; calves (of the leg)’ – PUD *pek(i)la ‘kidneys; testicles’ [KESK: 228]; PK *söp (*söpt-) – PUD *sep ‘bile’ [KESK: 263]; PK *šókuid ~ -ȫ – PUD *šekit ‘hard, difficult’ [KESK: 270]; PK *söp ~ -ȫ – PUD *sep ‘widow(er)’ [KESK: 270]; PK *töb- – PUD *teb- ‘to wrap’ [KESK: 283].

Before r (PUD *o – 8 examples, PUD *e – 11 examples):

PK *görd – PUD *gord ‘red’ [KESK: 80]; PK *jör ‘pen, enclosure’ – PUD *joros ‘place, surroundings’ [KESK: 113]; PK *kór – PUD *kor- ‘taste’ [KESK: 141]; PK *kört – PUD *kort ‘iron’ [KESK: 142]; PK *sör- ~ -ȫ ‘ease oneself, go to the john’ – PUD *sor- ‘loo’ [KESK: 271]; PK *šör – PUD *šor ‘middle’ [KESK: 322]; PK *šör- – PUD *šor- ‘to cut (a piece, a slice of bread)’ [KESK: 322–323]; PK *šört – PUD *šort ‘yarn’ [KESK: 323].

PK *bör – PUD *ber ‘rear part, back’ [KESK: 41]; PK *döra – PUD *dera ‘canvas’ [KESK: 96]; PK *gör (*görj-) – PUD *geri ‘wooden plow’ [KESK: 80]; PK *göród – PUD *gerd ‘knot’ [KESK: 81]; PK *kört- ~ -ȫ – PUD *kert- ‘to tie, bind’ [KESK: 142]; PK *körtüm ~ -ȫ ‘rent’ – PUD *kertim ‘tribute’ [KESK: 142]; PK *pöriš – PUD *pereš ‘old’ [KESK: 229]; PK *pörtć- – PUD *pertć- ‘to untie’ [KESK: 229]; PK *sör ~ -ȫ – PUD *ser ‘vein, blood-vessel’ [KESK: 264]; PK *sör- ~ -ȫ ‘to be delirious (in one’s sleep); to chatter, babble, talk nonsense’ – PUD *serek ‘hot, hot-tempered, anxious’ [KESK: 264]; PK *tör- – PUD *ter- ‘to fit, to fit into’ [KESK: 284].

It is evident that, despite some particularities, the status of the Udmurt correspondences to the Proto-Komi vowel $*\dot{o}$ is similar to that of Udmurt correspondences to Proto-Komi $*e$ and $*\dot{e}$. This means that it is not possible to accept V.I. Lytkin's reconstruction, where in one case the difference between Udmurt vowels $*o$ and $*e$ is regarded as secondary, and in the other case it is traced back to the Proto-Permic period. It is necessary either to consider this difference as Proto-Permic in both cases or to regard it as always secondary, arising under the influence of the following consonants and some other yet unknown factors. We believe that the second solution is more appropriate, because, as well as in the previous case, we do not have at our disposal any external data that could confirm the antiquity of the Proto-Udmurt contrast between $*e$ and $*o$. It must be noted that, although P. Sammallahti, following V. I. Lytkin, preferred to reconstruct here two different Proto-Permic phonemes, at the same time he pointed out that they resulted from a "non-conditional" split of a single Pre-Permic phoneme $*\dot{o}$ [Sammallahti 1988: 527]. As we have shown above, this split was at least partially conditioned by the phonetic environment.

Let us turn to the Proto-Permic phonemes $*\dot{o}$ and $*\ddot{o}$. According to Lytkin, they fell together in Komi as Proto-Komi $*\ddot{o}$. The difference between the two phonemes remained in Proto-Udmurt, where Proto-Permic $*\dot{o} > *u$, and Proto-Permic $*\ddot{o} > *\ddot{u}$. Lytkin also takes into consideration the secondary shift $*u > *\ddot{u}$ before palatalised consonants in Proto-Udmurt. Thus, the assumed opposition between Proto-Permic $*\dot{o}$ and $*\ddot{o}$ remained intact only before non-palatalised consonants. However, examination of the relevant evidence⁴ allows to conclude that in this position a complementary distribution between $*\dot{o}$ and $*\ddot{o}$ can be shown as well. The CVC-type stems regularly contain $*\ddot{u}$, while CVCVC-type stems always show $*u$. Only a handful of examples of other stem types (CV, CVCj/i, CVC₀) is available; they do not warrant the reconstruction of an opposition between $*\dot{o}$ and $*\ddot{o}$ in Proto-Permic.

CVC-type stems (PUD $*\ddot{u}$ – 14 examples):

PK $*d\ddot{o}n$ – PUD $*d\ddot{u}n$ 'clean' [KESK: 95]; PK $*d\ddot{o}z$ 'crockery' – PUD $*d\ddot{u}z$ 'vat' [KESK: 94]; PK $*g\ddot{o}rz-$ 'to cry' – PUD $*g\ddot{u}r b\ddot{o}rd-$ 'to sob' [KESK: 78]; PK $*g\ddot{o}rz\ddot{o}d-$ – PUD $*g\ddot{u}r\ddot{z}-$ 'to belch' [KESK: 78]; PK $*g\ddot{o}z$ – PUD $*k\ddot{u}z$ 'couple' [KESK: 77]; PK $*k\ddot{o}r$ 'husk' – PUD $*k\ddot{u}r$ 'lime-bast' [KESK: 133]; PK $*k\ddot{o}s$ – PUD $*k\ddot{u}s$ 'waist' [KESK: 134]; PK $*l\ddot{o}l$ – PUD $*l\ddot{u}l$ 'spirit' [KESK: 160]; PK $*q\ddot{r}$ – PUD $*\ddot{u}r$ 'pus' [KESK: 206]; PK $*q\ddot{o}r-$ 'tear' – PUD $*\ddot{u}ir-$ 'tear apart' [KESK: 207]; PK $*q\ddot{o}ra\acute{s}-$ – PUD $*\ddot{u}ir-$ 'to weed' [KESK: 206]; PK $*p\ddot{o}\acute{z}$ – PUD $*p\ddot{u}\acute{z}$ 'sieve' [KESK: 223]; PK $*\ddot{sh}om$ 'coal' – PUD $*\ddot{sh}um$ 'soot' [KESK: 321]; PK $*t\ddot{o}\acute{s}$ – PUD $*t\ddot{u}\acute{s}$ 'beard' [KESK: 282].

One exception is PK $*q\ddot{o}rt$ 'ghost' – PUD $*urt$ 'spirit' [KESK: 208].

CVCVC-type stems (PUD $*u$ – 6 examples):

PK $*c\acute{o}r\acute{u}d$ – PUD $*\acute{c}urit$ 'hard' [KESK: 310]; PK $*k\ddot{o}mi\acute{z}$ 'spring onion' – PUD $*kumi\acute{z}$ 'wild garlic' [Lytkin 1964: 47]; PK $*k\ddot{o}mu\acute{l}$ 'rind' – PUD $*kume\acute{l}$ 'the bark on a piece of bast' [KESK: 132]; PK $*k\ddot{o}pi\acute{u}rt-$ – PUD $*kupi\acute{r}t-$ 'bend' [KESK: 133]; PK $*n\ddot{o}\acute{z}t\acute{o}m$ 'ugly' – PUD $*n\acute{u}\acute{z}tem$ 'naughty' [KESK: 188; Lytkin 1964: 55]; PK $*q\ddot{o}z\acute{u}r$ – PUD $*uz\acute{u}r$ 'rich' [KESK: 203].

⁴ We do not adduce here those words in which Komi data show fluctuation between reflexes of Proto-Komi $*\dot{o}$ and $*u$, since we cannot exclude that such words contained Proto-Permic $*u$ or $*\ddot{u}$ rather than $*\dot{o}$ or $*\ddot{o}$.

CV-type stems (PUD **u* — 2 examples):

PK **s̥q* — PUD **śu* ‘hundred’ [KESK: 252]; PK **v̥q*- — PUD **vu-* ‘arrive’ [KESK: 63].

CVCj/i-type stems (PUD **ü* — 3 examples, PUD **u* — 1 example):

PK **g̥ob* — PUD **g̥ubi* ‘mushroom’ [KESK: 76]; PK **p̥on* — PUD **p̥uni* ‘dog’ [KESK: 224]; PK **śor* ‘pole’ — PUD **śuri* ‘perch, beam’ [KESK: 253].

PK **qz* — PUD **uzi* ‘wild strawberry’ [KESK: 203].

CVCo-type stems (PUD **u* — 2 examples, PUD **ü* — 1 example):

PK **kqk*- ‘to hoe’ — PUD **kukčo* ‘hoe’ [KESK: 129]; PK **poda* — PUD **pudo* ‘cattle’ [KESK: 223].

PK **kqča* — PUD **kičo* ‘many-coloured’ [Lytkin 1964: 53].

The revised set of correspondences between Komi and Udmurt can be interpreted in the following way:

Proto-Permic	*i	*ü	*j	*u	*e	*ö	*ɛ	*o	*ä	*ɔ	*a	*ɔ
Proto-Komi	*i	*u	*ü	*u	*e, *e	*ö	*ɔ	*o	*ö	*ɔ	*a	*a
Proto-Udmurt	*i	*ü	*j	*u	*e, *o	*ü, *u	*j	*u	*e, *o, *a	*ö	*a	*u

Notes:

1) Udmurt **u* of any origin changes to **ü* before palatalised consonants.

2) Udmurt **u* and **ü* from Proto-Permic **ü*, **u*, **ö* и **o* may yield **j* under uncertain conditions. Following V. I. Lytkin and P. Sammallahti, we do not think that in those cases it is necessary to reconstruct separate Proto-Permic phonemes, first of all, because of the great number of parallel forms with **u* and **j* in Udmurt (see [Lytkin 1964: 21]).

The system of the Proto-Permic vocalism in our reconstruction proves to be less complicated than the ones assumed by Lytkin and Sammallahti, since there are only three vowel heights and no reduced vowels:

		front	central	back	
		non-labialised	labialised	non-labialised	labialised
high	i	ú	j	u	
mid	e	ö	ɛ	o	
low	ä	ɔ	a	ɔ	

Abbreviations

PFP — Proto-Finno-Permic; PK — Proto-Komi; PU — Proto-Uralic; PUD — Proto-Udmurt

Literature

KESK — В. И. Лыткин, Е. И. Гуляев. *Краткий этимологический словарь коми языка*. М., 1970.

Lytkin 1964 — В. И. Лыткин. *Исторический вокализм пермских языков*. М., 1964.

Sammallahti 1988 — P. SAMMALLAHTI. Historical phonology of the Uralic languages // SINOR D. (ed.). *The Uralic Languages: Description, History and Foreign Influences*. Leiden e. a., 1988. P. 478–554.

UEW — K. RÉDEI. *Uralisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Bd. I–III. Budapest, 1986–1991.

В статье рассматриваются некоторые прапермские гласные, реконструированные В. И. Лытким в его фундаментальной монографии «Исторический вокализм пермских языков». Установленные нами правила дополнительного распределения позволяют предложить более экономичную реконструкцию прапермского вокализма.